	Case4:09-cv-00152-SBA Document9	6 Filed09/26/11	Page1 of 28
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	IGNACIA S. MORENO Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division ROCHELLE L. RUSSELL (Cal. Bar No. 244992 Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice Environmental Defense Section 301 Howard Street, Suite 1050 San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (415) 744-6566; Fax: (415) 744-6476 Email: rochelle.russell@usdoj.gov Attorneys for Defendant Lisa P. Jackson, Admini EMMA C. CHEUSE (Appearing Pro Hac Vice, I JAMES S. PEW (Appearing Pro Hac Vice, D.C. Earthjustice	strator of EPA D.C. Bar No. 48820	1)
10 11 12 13	1625 Massachusetts Avenue, N.W., Suite 702 Washington, D.C. 20036 Tel: (202) 667-4500; Fax: (202) 667-2356 Email: echeuse@earthjustice.org Email: jpew@earthjustice.org		
14 15 16 17	SARAH H. BURT (Cal. Bar No. 250378) Earthjustice 426 17th Street, 6th Floor Oakland, CA 94612 Tel: (510) 550-6700 Email: sburt@earthjustice.org <i>Attorneys for Plaintiff Sierra Club</i>		
 18 19 20 21 	UNITED STATES I NORTHERN DISTRIC OAKLAND	CT OF CALIFORN	
 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	SIERRA CLUB, Plaintiff, v. LISA P. JACKSON, Administrator, United States Environmental Protection Agency, in her official capacity, Defendant.) Case No. 09-cv) CONSENT DE)))))))	
	CONSENT DECREE 1	(Case No. 09-cv-00152 SBA

WHEREAS, on January 13, 2009, Plaintiff Sierra Club filed the above-captioned matter against Lisa P. Jackson, in her official capacity as Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (hereinafter "EPA" or "Defendant");¹

nvironmental Prot WHEREAS

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WHEREAS, Plaintiff alleges that EPA failed to perform its obligations under Clean Air Act ("CAA") section 112(d)(6), 42 U.S.C. § 7412(d)(6), to "review, and revise as necessary (taking into account developments in practices, processes, and control technologies)" the section 112(d) emission standards identified in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint within 8 years of the promulgation of such standards, *see* Compl. ¶¶ 1, 26 (Dkt. No. 1);

WHEREAS, Plaintiff alleges that for each source category identified in the Complaint, EPA failed to perform its obligations under CAA section 112(f)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 7412(f)(2), within 8 years of the promulgation of the section 112(d) emission standards identified in Paragraph 1 of the Complaint, to "promulgate standards [under section 112(f)(2)] for such category or subcategory if promulgation of such standards is required in order to provide an ample margin of safety to protect public health . . . or to prevent, taking into consideration costs, energy, safety, and other relevant factors, an adverse environmental effect," *see* Compl. ¶¶ 1, 27;

WHEREAS, the Complaint identifies 28 source categories for which EPA has allegedly failed to complete its CAA section 112(d)(6) and (f)(2) obligations, *see* Compl. ¶¶ 1, 13;

WHEREAS, the emission standards for these 28 source categories are set forth in 27 different National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants ("NESHAP"), which are listed in the Complaint by the relevant Federal Register notice, and include the following, *see* Compl. ¶¶ 1, 13:

(1) Marine Tank Vessel Loading Operations, 60 Fed. Reg. 48,388 (Sept. 19, 1995) (40 C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. Y), Compl. ¶ 13(6);

(2) Pharmaceuticals Production, 63 Fed. Reg. 50,280 (Sept. 21, 1998) (40 C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. GGG), Compl. ¶ 13(10);

²⁸ Lisa P. Jackson has been substituted for Stephen L. Johnson as Defendant in this matter pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 25(d).

Case4:09-cv-00152-SBA Document96 Filed09/26/11 Page3 of 28

1	(3) Printing and Publishing Industry, 61 Fed. Reg. 27,132 (May 30, 1996) (40 C.F.R. pt.
2	63 subpt. KK), Compl. ¶ 13(19);
3	(4) Hard and Decorative Chromium Electroplating and Chromium Anodizing Tanks, 60
4	Fed. Reg. 4,948 (Jan. 25, 1995) (40 C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. N), Compl. ¶ 13(2);
5	(5) Steel Pickling – HCl Process Facilities and Hydrochloric Acid Regeneration Plants,
6	64 Fed. Reg. 33,202 (June 22, 1999) (40 C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. CCC), Compl. ¶ 13(24);
7	(6) Group I Polymers and Resins, ² 61 Fed. Reg. 46,906 (Sept. 5, 1996) (40 C.F.R. pt. 63
8	subpt. U), Compl. ¶ 13(13);
9	(7) Shipbuilding and Ship Repair (Surface Coating) Operations, 60 Fed. Reg. 64,330
10	(Dec. 15, 1995) (40 C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. II), Compl. ¶ 13(23);
11	(8) Wood Furniture Manufacturing Operations, 60 Fed. Reg. 62,930 (Dec. 7, 1995) (40
12	C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. JJ), Compl. ¶ 13(25);
13	(9) Primary Lead Smelting, 64 Fed. Reg. 30,194 (June 4, 1999) (40 C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt.
14	TTT), Compl. ¶ 13(18);
15	(10) Secondary Lead Smelting, 60 Fed. Reg. 32,587 (June 23, 1995) (40 C.F.R. pt. 63
16	subpt. X), Compl. ¶ 13(22);
17	(11) Pulp and Paper Production Industry, 63 Fed. Reg. 18,504 (Apr. 15, 1998) (40 C.F.R.
18	pt. 63 subpt. S), Compl. ¶ 13(20);
19	(12) Aerospace Manufacturing and Rework Facilities, 60 Fed. Reg. 45,948 (Sept. 1,
20	1995) (40 C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. GG), Compl. ¶ 13(1);
21	(13) Mineral Wool Production, 64 Fed. Reg. 29,490 (June 1, 1999) (40 C.F.R. pt. 63
22	subpt. DDD), Compl. ¶ 13(7);
23	
24	² The Group I Polymers and Resins NESHAP addresses nine different categories. On December 16, 2008, EPA published a final determination under sections 112(d)(6) and 112(f)(2) for the
25	following four Group I Polymers and Resins categories: Polysulfide Rubber Production;
26	Ethylene Propylene Rubber Production; Butyl Rubber Production; and Neoprene Production. <i>See</i> 73 Fed. Reg. 76,220. The allegations in Plaintiff's Complaint address only the five Group I
27	Polymers and Resins categories not covered by the December 2008 action. These categories are as follows: Epicholorohydrin Elastomers Production; Hypalon TM Production; Nitrile Butadiene
28	Rubber Production; Polybutadiene Rubber Production; and Styrene Butadiene Rubber and Latex Production.
	CONSENT DECREE3Case No. 09-cv-00152 SBA

Case4:09-cv-00152-SBA Document96 Filed09/26/11 Page4 of 28

1	(14) Primary Aluminum Reduction Plants, 62 Fed. Reg. 52,384 (Oct. 7, 1997) (40 C.F.R.
2	pt. 63 subpt. LL), Compl. ¶ 13(17);
3	(15) Ferroalloys Production: Ferromanganese and Silicomanganese, 64 Fed. Reg. 27,450
4	(May 20, 1999) (40 C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. XXX), Compl. ¶ 13(3);
5	(16) Wool Fiberglass Manufacturing, 64 Fed. Reg. 31,695 (June 14, 1999) (40 C.F.R. pt.
6	63 subpt. NNN), Compl. ¶ 13(26);
7	(17) Secondary Aluminum Production, 65 Fed. Reg. 15,690 (Mar. 23, 2000) (40 C.F.R.
8	pt. 63 subpt. RRR), Compl. ¶ 13(21);
9	(18) Pesticide Active Ingredient Production, 64 Fed. Reg. 33,550 (June 23, 1999) (40
10	C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. MMM), Compl. ¶ 13(9);
11	(19) Polyether Polyols Production, 64 Fed. Reg. 29,420 (June 1, 1999) (40 C.F.R. pt. 63
12	subpt. PPP), Compl. ¶ 13(12);
13	(20) Group IV Polymers and Resins, 61 Fed. Reg. 48,208 (Sept. 12, 1996) (40 C.F.R. pt.
14	63 subpt. JJJ), Compl. ¶ 13(15);
15	(21) Flexible Polyurethane Foam Production, 63 Fed. Reg. 53,980 (Oct. 7, 1998) (40
16	C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. III), Compl. ¶ 13(4);
17	(22) Generic MACT- Acrylic and Modacrylic Fibers Production, 64 Fed. Reg. 34,854
18	(June 29, 1999) (40 C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. YY), Compl. ¶ 13(5);
19	(23) Generic MACT- Polycarbonate Production, 64 Fed. Reg. 34,854 (June 29, 1999) (40
20	C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. YY), Compl. ¶ 13(5);
21	(24) Off-Site Waste and Recovery Operations, 61 Fed. Reg. 34,140 (July 1, 1996) (40
22	C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. DD), Compl. ¶ 13(8);
23	(25) Phosphoric Acid Manufacturing, 64 Fed. Reg. 31,358 (June 10, 1999) (40 C.F.R. pt.
24	63 subpt. AA), Compl. ¶ 13(11);
25	(26) Phosphate Fertilizers Production Plants, 64 Fed. Reg. 31,358 (June 10, 1999) (40
26	C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. BB), Compl. ¶ 13(11);
27	(27) Group III Polymers and Resins–Manufacture of Amino/Phenolic Resins, 65 Fed.
28	Reg. 3,276 (Jan. 20, 2000) (40 C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. OOO), Compl. ¶ 13(14);
	CONSENT DECREE4Case No. 09-cv-00152 SBA

(28) Portland Cement Manufacturing Industry, 64 Fed. Reg. 31,898 (June 14, 1999) (40 C.F.R. pt. 63 subpt. LLL), Compl. ¶ 13(16).³

WHEREAS, the relief requested in the Complaint includes, among other things, an order from this Court to establish a date certain by which EPA must fulfill each of its listed obligations for each of the source categories listed herein;

WHEREAS, EPA has not taken final action pursuant to CAA sections 112(d)(6) or 112(f)(2) with respect to the source categories identified in the Complaint, see EPA Answer ¶ 13 (Dkt. No. 43);

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and EPA have agreed to a settlement of this action without admission of any issue of fact or law, except as expressly provided herein;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and EPA, by entering into this Consent Decree, do not waive or limit any claim, remedy, or defense, on any grounds, related to any final EPA action;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and EPA consider this Consent Decree to be an adequate and equitable resolution of all the claims in this matter and therefore wish to effectuate a settlement;

15 WHEREAS, it is in the interest of the public, Plaintiff Sierra Club, Defendant EPA, and 16 judicial economy to resolve this matter without protracted litigation;

WHEREAS, Plaintiff and EPA agree that this Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to the citizen suit provision in CAA section 304(a)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 7604(a)(2), and that venue is proper in the Northern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e) and N.D. 20 Cal. Civ. Local Rule 3-2(c)-(d);

WHEREAS, the Court, by entering this Consent Decree, finds that the Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, in the public interest, and consistent with the Clean Air Act;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

21

- 25
- 26 27

²³ 24

³ The emission standards for the source categories identified in items 22-23 and 25-26 were addressed in the same Federal Register notices, 64 Fed. Reg. 34,854 (June 29, 1999) and 64 Fed. Reg. 31,358 (June 10, 1999), respectively, and thus were addressed in the same paragraphs of the Complaint, as cited above. For clarity, this Consent Decree identifies each source category by 28 separate paragraph.

1	NOW THEREFORE, before the taking of testimony, without trial or determination of
2	any issues of fact or law, and upon the consent of Plaintiff Sierra Club and Defendant EPA, it is
3	hereby ordered, adjudged and decreed that:
4	1. For the Marine Tank Vessel Loading Operations source category, the EPA
5	Administrator shall:
6	(a) no later than September 14, 2010,
7	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart Y
8	("NESHAP subpart Y") under CAA section 112(d)(6), 42 U.S.C. § 7412(d)(6), or
9	sign a proposed determination that revision of NESHAP subpart Y is not
10	necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
11	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category
12	under CAA section 112(f)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 7412(f)(2), or sign a proposed
13	determination that promulgation of such standards is not required under CAA
14	section $112(f)(2)$; and
15	(b) no later than March 31, 2011,
16	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart Y under CAA
17	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
18	Y is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
19	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
20	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
21	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
22	2. For the Pharmaceuticals Production source category, the EPA Administrator shall:
23	(a) no later than September 14, 2010,
24	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart GGG
25	("NESHAP subpart GGG") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
26	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart GGG is not necessary under
27	CAA section 112(d)(6); and
28	

Case4:09-cv-00152-SBA Document96 Filed09/26/11 Page7 of 28

1		(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category
2		under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
3		of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
4		(b) no later than March 31, 2011,
5		(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart GGG under CAA
6		section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
7		GGG is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
8		(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
9		under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
10		such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
11		3. For the Printing and Publishing Industry source category, the EPA Administrator
12	shall:	
13		(a) no later than September 14, 2010,
14		(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart KK
15		("NESHAP subpart KK") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
16		determination that revision of NESHAP subpart KK is not necessary under CAA
17		section 112(d)(6); and
18		(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category
19		under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
20		of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
21		(b) no later than March 31, 2011,
22		(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart KK under CAA
23		section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
24		KK is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
25		(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
26		under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
27		such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
28		

1	4. For the Hard and Decorative Chromium Electroplating and Chromium Anodizing
2	Tanks source category, the EPA Administrator shall:
3	(a) no later than September 14, 2010,
4	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart N
5	("NESHAP subpart N") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
6	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart N is not necessary under CAA
7	section 112(d)(6); and
8	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category
9	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
10	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
11	(b) no later than June 30, 2011,
12	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart N under CAA
13	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
14	N is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
15	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
16	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
17	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
18	5. For the Steel Pickling–HCl Process Facilities and Hydrochloric Acid Regeneration
19	Plants source category, the EPA Administrator shall:
20	(a) no later than September 14, 2010,
21	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart CCC
22	("NESHAP subpart CCC") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
23	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart CCC is not necessary under CAA
24	section 112(d)(6); and
25	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category
26	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
27	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
28	(b) no later than June 30, 2011,

1	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart CCC under CAA
2	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
3	CCC is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
4	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
5	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
6	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
7	6. For the Group I Polymers and Resins source category, ⁴ the EPA Administrator shall:
8	(a) no later than September 14, 2010,
9	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart U
10	("NESHAP subpart U") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
11	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart U is not necessary under CAA
12	section 112(d)(6); and
13	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category
14	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
15	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
16	(b) no later than June 30, 2011,
17	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart U under CAA
18	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
19	U is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
20	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
21	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
22	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
23	7. For the Shipbuilding and Ship Repair (Surface Coating) Operations source category,
24	the EPA Administrator shall:
25	
26	⁴ As explained in footnote two <i>supra</i> , the Group I Polymers and Resins NESHAP addresses nine
27	different categories. The obligations in this paragraph apply only to the following five Group I Polymers and Resins categories: Epicholorohydrin Elastomers Production; Hypalon TM
28	Production; Nitrile Butadiene Rubber Production; Polybutadiene Rubber Production; and Styrene Butadiene Rubber and Latex Production.

1	(a) no later than December 3, 2010,		
2	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart II		
3	("NESHAP subpart II") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed		
4	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart II is not necessary under CAA		
5	section 112(d)(6); and		
6	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category	y	
7	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation		
8	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and		
9	(b) no later than October 31, 2011,		
10	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart II under CAA		
11	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart		
12	II is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and		
13	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category		
14	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of		
15	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).		
16	8. For the Wood Furniture Manufacturing Operations source category, the EPA		
17	Administrator shall:		
18	(a) no later than December 3, 2010,		
19	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart JJ		
20	("NESHAP subpart JJ") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed		
21	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart JJ is not necessary under CAA		
22	section $112(d)(6)$; and		
23	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category	y	
24	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation		
25	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and		
26	(b) no later than October 31, 2011,		
27			
28			
	CONSENT DECREE10Case No. 09-cv-00152 SBA	ł	

1	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart JJ under CAA
2	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
3	JJ is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
4	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
5	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
6	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
7	9. For the Primary Lead Smelting source category, the EPA Administrator shall:
8	(a) no later than January 31, 2011,
9	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart TTT
10	("NESHAP subpart TTT") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
11	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart TTT is not necessary under CAA
12	section $112(d)(6)$; and
13	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category
14	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
15	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
16	(b) no later than October 31, 2011,
17	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart TTT under CAA
18	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
19	TTT is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
20	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
21	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
22	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
23	10. For the Secondary Lead Smelting source category, the EPA Administrator shall:
24	(a) no later than April 29, 2011,
25	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart X
26	("NESHAP subpart X") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
27	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart X is not necessary under CAA
28	section 112(d)(6); and

1	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category
2	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
3	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
4	(b) no later than December 16, 2011,
5	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart X under CAA
6	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart
7	X is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
8	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
9	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
10	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
11	11. For the Pulp and Paper Production Industry source category, the EPA Administrator
12	shall:
13	(a) no later than June 15, 2011,
14	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart S
15	("NESHAP subpart S") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
16	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart S is not necessary under CAA
17	section 112(d)(6); and
18	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category
19	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation
20	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and
21	(b) no later than January 31, 2012,
22	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart S under CAA
23	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart S
24	is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and
25	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category
26	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of
27	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).
28	

Case4:09-cv-00152-SBA Document96 Filed09/26/11 Page13 of 28

1 12. For the Aerospace Manufacturing and Rework Facilities source category, the EPA
 2 Administrator shall:

2	Administrator shall:	
3	(a) no later than August 31, 2011,	
4	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart GG	
5	("NESHAP subpart GG") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed	
6	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart GG is not necessary under CAA	
7	section 112(d)(6); and	
8	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category	
9	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation	
10	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and	
11	(b) no later than June 29, 2012,	
12	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart GG under CAA	
13	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart	
14	GG is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and	
15	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category	
16	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of	
17	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).	
18	13. For the Mineral Wool Production source category, the EPA Administrator shall:	
19	(a) no later than October 31, 2011,	
20	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart DDD	
21	("NESHAP subpart DDD") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed	
22	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart DDD is not necessary under	
23	CAA section 112(d)(6); and	
24	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category	
25	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation	
26	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and	
27	(b) no later than June 29, 2012,	
28		

1	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart DDD under CAA		
2	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart		
3	DDD is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and		
4	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category		
5	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of		
6	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).		
7	14. For the Primary Aluminum Reduction Plants source category, the EPA Administrator		
8	shall:		
9	(a) no later than October 31, 2011,		
10	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to the 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart LL		
11	("NESHAP subpart LL") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed		
12	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart LL is not necessary under CAA		
13	section $112(d)(6)$; and		
14	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category		
15	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation		
16	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and		
17	(b) no later than June 29, 2012,		
18	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart LL under CAA		
19	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart		
20	LL is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and		
21	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category		
22	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of		
23	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).		
24	15. For the Ferroalloys Production: Ferromanganese and Silicomanganese source		
25	category, the EPA Administrator shall:		
26	(a) no later than October 31, 2011,		
27	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart XXX		
28	("NESHAP subpart XXX") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed		
	CONSENT DECREE14Case No. 09-cv-00152 SBA		

1	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart XXX is not necessary under			
2	CAA section 112(d)(6); and			
3	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category			
4	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation			
5	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and			
6	(b) no later than June 29, 2012,			
7	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to the NESHAP subpart XXX under			
8	CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP			
9	subpart XXX is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and			
10	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category			
11	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of			
12	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).			
13	16. For the Wool Fiberglass Manufacturing source category, the EPA Administrator			
14	shall:			
15	(a) no later than October 31, 2011,			
16	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart NNN			
17	("NESHAP subpart NNN") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed			
18	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart NNN is not necessary under			
19	CAA section 112(d)(6); and			
20	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category			
21	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation			
22	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and			
23	(b) no later than June 29, 2012,			
24	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart NNN under CAA			
25	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart			
26	NNN is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and			
27				
28				
	CONSENT DECREE15Case No. 09-cv-00152 SBA			

1	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category			
2	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of			
3	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).			
4	17. For the Secondary Aluminum Production source category, the EPA Administrator			
5	shall:			
6	(a) no later than November 30, 2011,			
7	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart RRR			
8	("NESHAP subpart RRR") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed			
9	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart RRR is not necessary under CAA			
10	section 112(d)(6); and			
11	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category			
12	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation			
13	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and			
14	(b) no later than August 31, 2012,			
15	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart RRR under CAA			
16	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart			
17	RRR is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and			
18	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category			
19	under CAA section $112(f)(2)$ or sign a final determination that promulgation of			
20	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).			
21	18. For the Pesticide Active Ingredient Production source category, the EPA			
22	Administrator shall:			
23	(a) no later than November 30, 2011,			
24	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart MMM			
25	("NESHAP subpart MMM") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed			
26	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart MMM is not necessary under			
27	CAA section 112(d)(6); and			
28				
	CONSENT DECREE 16 Case No. 09-cv-00152 SBA			

1	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category			
2	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation			
3	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and			
4	(b) no later than November 30, 2012,			
5	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart MMM under			
6	CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP			
7	subpart MMM is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and			
8	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category			
9	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of			
10	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).			
11				
12	19. For the Polyether Polyols Production source category, the EPA Administrator shall:			
13	(a) no later than November 30, 2011,			
14	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart PPP			
15	("NESHAP subpart PPP") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed			
16	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart PPP is not necessary under CAA			
17	section 112(d)(6); and			
18	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category			
19	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation			
20	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and			
21	(b) no later than November 30, 2012,			
22	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart PPP under CAA			
23	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart			
24	PPP is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and			
25	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category			
26	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of			
27	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).			
28				

1	20. For the Group IV Polymers and Resins source category, the EPA Administrator		
2	shall:		
3	(a) no later than November 30, 2011,		
4	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart JJJ		
5	("NESHAP subpart JJJ") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed		
6	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart JJJ is not necessary under CAA		
7	section 112(d)(6); and		
8	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category		
9	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation		
10	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and		
11	(b) no later than November 30, 2012,		
12	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart JJJ under CAA		
13	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart		
14	JJJ is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and		
15	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category		
16	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of		
17	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).		
18	21. For the Flexible Polyurethane Foam Production source category, the EPA		
19	Administrator shall:		
20	(a) no later than October 31, 2012,		
21	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart III		
22	("NESHAP subpart III") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed		
23	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart III is not necessary under CAA		
24	section 112(d)(6); and		
25	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category		
26	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation		
27	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and		
28	(b) no later than October 31, 2013,		

1	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart III under CAA			
2	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart			
3	III is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and			
4	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category			
5	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of			
6	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).			
7	22. For the Acrylic and Modacrylic Fibers Production source category, the EPA			
8	Administrator shall:			
9	(a) no later than October 31, 2012,			
10	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to the standards for this source			
11	category in 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart YY ("NESHAP subpart YY") under CAA			
12	section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed determination that revision to the standards			
13	for this source category in NESHAP subpart YY is not necessary under CAA			
14	section 112(d)(6); and			
15	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category			
16	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation			
17	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and			
18	(b) no later than October 31, 2013,			
19	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to the standards for this source			
20	category in NESHAP subpart YY under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a final			
21	determination that revision of the standards for this source category in NESHAP			
22	subpart YY is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and			
23	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category			
24	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of			
25	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).			
26	23. For the Polycarbonate Production source category, the EPA Administrator shall:			
27	(a) no later than October 31, 2012,			
28				

CONSENT DECREE

1	(i) sign a proposed	rule containing revis	ions to the standards for this source
2	category in 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart YY ("NESHAP subpart YY") under CAA		
3	section 112(d)(6) o	r sign a proposed det	ermination that revision of the standards
4	for this source cate	gory in NESHAP sub	part YY is not necessary under CAA
5	section 112(d)(6);	and	
6	(ii) sign a proposed	l rule containing resid	lual risk standards for this source category
7	under CAA section	112(f)(2) or sign a p	roposed determination that promulgation
8	of such standards is	s not required under (CAA section 112(f)(2); and
9	(b) no later than October 3	1, 2013,	
10	(i) sign a final rule	promulgating revisio	ns to the standards for this source
11	category in NESHA	AP subpart YY under	CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a final
12	determination that	revision of the standa	rds in NESHAP subpart YY for this
13	source category is	not necessary under C	CAA section 112(d)(6); and
14	(ii) sign a final rule	promulgating residu	al risk standards for this source category
15	under CAA section	112(f)(2) or sign a fi	inal determination that promulgation of
16	such standards is n	ot required under CA	A section 112(f)(2).
17	24. For the Off-Site Waste	e and Recovery Opera	ations source category, the EPA
18	Administrator shall:		
19	(a) no later than October 3	1, 2012,	
20	(i) sign a proposed	rule containing revisi	ions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart DD
21	("NESHAP subpar	t DD") under CAA se	ection 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed
22	determination that	revision of NESHAP	subpart DD is not necessary under CAA
23	section 112(d)(6); a	and	
24	(ii) sign a proposed	l rule containing resid	lual risk standards for this source category
25	under CAA section	112(f)(2) or sign a p	roposed determination that promulgation
26	of such standards is	s not required under (CAA section 112(f)(2); and
27	(b) no later than October 3	1, 2013,	
28			
	CONSENT DECREE	20	Case No. 09-cv-00152 SBA

1	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart DD under CAA			
2	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart			
3	DD is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and			
4	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category			
5	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of			
6	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).			
7	25. For the Phosphoric Acid Manufacturing source category, the EPA Administrator			
8	shall:			
9	(a) no later than October 31, 2012,			
10	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart AA			
11	("NESHAP subpart AA") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed			
12	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart AA is not necessary under CAA			
13	section 112(d)(6); and			
14	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category			
15	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation			
16	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and			
17	(b) no later than October 31, 2013,			
18	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart AA under CAA			
19	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart			
20	AA is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and			
21	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category			
22	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of			
23	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).			
24	26. For the Phosphate Fertilizers Production Plants source category, the EPA			
25	Administrator shall:			
26	(a) no later than October 31, 2012,			
27	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart BB			
28	("NESHAP subpart BB") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed			
	CONSENT DECREE21Case No. 09-cv-00152 SBA			

1	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart BB is not necessary under CAA			
2	section 112(d)(6); and			
3	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category			
4	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation			
5	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and			
6	(b) no later than October 31, 2013,			
7	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart BB under CAA			
8	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart			
9	BB is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and			
10	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category			
11	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of			
12	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).			
13	27. For the Group III Polymers and Resins – Manufacture of Amino/Phenolic Resins			
14	source category, the EPA Administrator shall:			
15	(a) no later than October 31, 2012,			
16	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart OOO			
17	("NESHAP subpart OOO") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed			
18	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart OOO is not necessary under			
19	CAA section 112(d)(6); and			
20	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category			
21	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation			
22	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and			
23	(b) no later than October 31, 2013,			
24	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart OOO under CAA			
25	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart			
26	OOO is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and			
27				
28				
	CONSENT DECREE22Case No. 09-cv-00152 SBA			

1	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category		
2	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of		
3	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).		
4	28. For the Portland Cement Manufacturing Industry source category, the EPA		
5	Administrator shall:		
6	(a) no later than June 15, 2017,		
7	(i) sign a proposed rule containing revisions to 40 C.F.R. part 63, subpart LLL		
8	("NESHAP subpart LLL") under CAA section 112(d)(6) or sign a proposed		
9	determination that revision of NESHAP subpart LLL is not necessary under CAA		
10	section 112(d)(6); and		
11	(ii) sign a proposed rule containing residual risk standards for this source category		
12	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a proposed determination that promulgation		
13	of such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2); and		
14	(b) no later than June 15, 2018,		
15	(i) sign a final rule promulgating revisions to NESHAP subpart LLL under CAA		
16	section 112(d)(6) or sign a final determination that revision of NESHAP subpart		
17	LLL is not necessary under CAA section 112(d)(6); and		
18	(ii) sign a final rule promulgating residual risk standards for this source category		
19	under CAA section 112(f)(2) or sign a final determination that promulgation of		
20	such standards is not required under CAA section 112(f)(2).		
21	29. Within fifteen (15) business days of signing a proposed or final rule or proposed or		
22	final determination as described in Paragraphs 1 through 28 of this Consent Decree, EPA shall		
23	deliver it to the Office of the Federal Register for prompt publication. In addition, EPA shall		
24	provide notice and make available to Plaintiff a copy of each such rule or determination within		
25	five (5) business days of delivery to the Office of the Federal Register.		
26	30. Once EPA has completed all of the actions set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 29 of		
27	this Consent Decree, EPA may move to have this Decree terminated. Plaintiff shall have		
28	fourteen (14) days in which to respond to such motion.		

31. The deadlines established in Paragraphs 1 through 28 may be extended (a) by written stipulation of Plaintiff and EPA with notice to the Court, or (b) by the Court upon motion of EPA for good cause shown and upon consideration of any response by Plaintiff. Any other provision of this Consent Decree may be modified by the Court following motion of either Plaintiff or EPA for good cause shown and upon consideration of any response by the non-moving party.

32. In the event of a dispute between Plaintiff and EPA concerning the interpretation or implementation of any aspect of this Consent Decree, the disputing party shall provide the other party with a written notice outlining the nature of the dispute and requesting informal negotiations. These parties shall meet and confer in order to attempt to resolve the dispute. If these parties are unable to resolve the dispute within ten (10) business days after receipt of the notice, either party may petition the Court to resolve the dispute.

33. No motion or other proceeding seeking to enforce this Consent Decree or for contempt of Court shall be filed unless the procedure set forth in Paragraph 32 has been followed.

34. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter to enforce the terms of this Consent Decree and to consider any requests for costs of litigation, including attorney fees.

35. Nothing in the terms of this Consent Decree shall be construed (a) to confer upon this Court jurisdiction to review any final rule or determination issued by EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree, (b) to confer upon this Court jurisdiction to review any issues that are within the exclusive jurisdiction of the United States Courts of Appeals under CAA section 307(b)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1), or (c) to waive any claims, remedies, or defenses that the parties may have under CAA section 307(b)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1).

36. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to limit or modify any discretion accorded EPA by the Clean Air Act or by general principles of administrative law in taking the actions which are the subject of this Consent Decree, including the discretion to alter, amend, or revise any final actions promulgated pursuant to this Consent Decree. EPA's obligation to perform each action specified in this Consent Decree does not constitute a limitation or modification of EPA's discretion within the meaning of this paragraph.

24

CONSENT DECREE

Case No. 09-cv-00152 SBA

37. Except as expressly provided herein, nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed as an admission of any issue of fact or law nor to waive or limit any claim, remedy, or defense, on any grounds, related to any final action EPA takes with respect to the actions addressed in this Consent Decree.

38. EPA and Plaintiff agree that, pursuant to CAA section 304(d), 42 U.S.C. § 7604(d), Plaintiff is both eligible and entitled to recover its costs of litigation in this action, including reasonable attorney fees, incurred prior to entry of this Consent Decree. The deadline for filing a bill of costs pursuant to Local Rule 54-1 and a motion for costs of litigation, including reasonable attorney fees, pursuant to Local Rule 54-6 for activities performed in this case prior to entry of this Consent Decree, is hereby extended until 90 days after the date on which the Court enters this Consent Decree. During this time the parties shall seek to resolve informally any claim for costs of litigation, including reasonable attorney fees.

39. Plaintiff reserves the right to seek additional costs of litigation, including reasonable attorney fees, incurred subsequent to entry of this Consent Decree and arising from Plaintiff's need to enforce or defend against efforts to modify its terms or the underlying schedule outlined herein, or for any other unforeseen continuation of this action. EPA reserves the right to oppose any such request for additional costs of litigation, including reasonable attorney fees.

40. It is hereby expressly understood and agreed that this Consent Decree was jointly
drafted by Plaintiff and EPA. Accordingly, the parties hereby agree that any and all rules of
construction to the effect that ambiguity is construed against the drafting party shall be
inapplicable in any dispute concerning the terms, meaning, or interpretation of this Consent
Decree.

41. The parties agree and acknowledge that before this Consent Decree is entered by the
Court, EPA must provide notice of this Consent Decree in the Federal Register and an
opportunity for public comment pursuant to CAA section 113(g), 42 U.S.C. § 7413(g). After
this Consent Decree has undergone notice and comment, the Administrator and/or the Attorney
General, as appropriate, shall promptly consider any such written comments in determining
whether to withdraw or withhold their consent to the Consent Decree, in accordance with CAA

CONSENT DECREE

Case No. 09-cv-00152 SBA

Case4:09-cv-00152-SBA Document96 Filed09/26/11 Page26 of 28

section 113(g). If the Administrator and/or the Attorney General do not elect to withdraw or
 withhold consent, EPA shall promptly file a motion that requests that the Court enter this
 Consent Decree.

42. Any notices required or provided for by this Consent Decree shall be in writing, via electronic mail or other means, and sent to the following (or to any new address of counsel as filed and listed in the docket of the above-captioned matter, at a future date):

7 8 9 10 11	<u>For Plaintiff Sierra Club</u> :	Emma C. Cheuse James S. Pew Earthjustice 1625 Massachusetts Avenue Washington, D.C. 20036 Tel: (202) 667-4500 Email: echeuse@earthjustic Email: jpew@earthjustice.o	e.org
 12 13 14 15 16 		Sarah H. Burt Earthjustice 426 17th Street, 6th Floor Oakland, CA 94612 Tel: (510) 550-6700 Email: sburt@earthjustice.o	rg
17 18 19 20	<u>For Defendant EPA</u> :	Rochelle L. Russell U.S. Department of Justice Environment & Natural Res 301 Howard Street, Suite 10 San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (415) 744-6566 Email: rochelle.russell@usd	050
 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	43. EPA and Plaintiff recogn EPA under this Consent Decree can available for such purpose. No prov constitute a commitment or requiren contravention of the Anti-Deficiency law.	rision of this Consent Decree ment that the United States ob	propriated funds legally shall be interpreted as or ligate or pay funds in
	CONSENT DECREE	26	Case No. 09-cv-00152 SBA

44. If for any reason the Court should decline to approve this Consent Decree in the form presented, this agreement is voidable at the sole discretion of either party and the terms of the proposed Consent Decree may not be used as evidence in any litigation between the parties.

45. The undersigned representatives of Plaintiff Sierra Club and Defendant EPA certify that they are fully authorized by the party they represent to consent to the Court's entry of the terms and conditions of this Decree.

SO ORDERED on this 26th day of September 2011.

SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG United States District Judge

	Case4:09-cv-00152-SBA	Document96 Filed09/26/11 Page28 of 28
1		
2	COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF:	
3	Date: September 27, 2010	/s/ Emma C. Cheuse
4		EMMA C. CHEUSE (Appearing <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> , D.C. Bar No. 488201)
5		JAMES S. PEW
6		(Appearing <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> , D.C. Bar No. 448830) Earthjustice
7		1625 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Suite 702 Washington, D.C. 20036
8		Tel: (202) 667-4500
9		Email: echeuse@earthjustice.org Email: jpew@earthjustice.org
10		SARAH H. BURT (Cal. Bar No. 250378)
11		Earthjustice 426 17th Street, 6th Floor
12		Oakland, CA 94612 Tel: (510) 550-6700
13		Email: sburt@earthjustice.org
14		Attorneys for Plaintiff Sierra Club
15	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANT:	
16		IGNACIA S. MORENO Assistant Attorney General
17		Environment & Natural Resources Division
18		/s/ Rochelle L. Russell
19	Date: September 27, 2010	ROCHELLE L. RUSSELL Attorney, U.S. Department of Justice
20		Environmental Defense Section
21		301 Howard Street, Suite 1050 San Francisco, CA 94105
22		Tel: (415) 744-6566
23		Email: rochelle.russell@usdoj.gov
24		Attorneys for Defendant EPA
25		
26		
27		
28		
	CONSENT DECREE	28 Case No. 09-cv-00152 SBA