1	WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO,	
2	SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP Christopher W. Mixson, Esq.	
3	NV Bar No. 10685 5594-B Longley Lane	
4	Reno, NV 89511 Tel: (775) 853-6787	
5	cmixson@wrslawyers.com	
6	EARTHJUSTICE Jill M. Tauber, Esq. (pro hac vice)	
7	1625 Massachusetts Avenue, Suite 702 Washington, DC 20036	
8	Tel: (202) 667-4500 jtauber@earthjustice.org	
9		
10	Sara R. Gersen, Esq. (pro hac vice) 800 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1000	
11	Los Angeles, CA 90017 Tel: (415) 217-2005	
	sgersen@earthjustice.org	
12	Attorneys for Petitioner Vote Solar	
13	IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR CARSON CITY	
14		ĭ
15	VOTE SOLAR,	Case No.: 16-OC-000521 B
16	Petitioner,	Department No.: 2
17	VS.	
18	THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF NEVADA,	
19		
- a a l	Respondent.	
20		
21	Respondent. NEVADANS FOR CLEAN AFFORDABLE RELIABLE ENERGY; NEVADA POWER	
21 22	NEVADANS FOR CLEAN AFFORDABLE RELIABLE ENERGY; NEVADA POWER CO. d/b/a NV ENERGY and SIERRA	
21 22 23	NEVADANS FOR CLEAN AFFORDABLE RELIABLE ENERGY; NEVADA POWER CO. d/b/a NV ENERGY and SIERRA PACIFIC POWER CO. d/b/a NV ENERGY; THE NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S	
21 22	NEVADANS FOR CLEAN AFFORDABLE RELIABLE ENERGY; NEVADA POWER CO. d/b/a NV ENERGY and SIERRA PACIFIC POWER CO. d/b/a NV ENERGY; THE NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S BUREAU OF CONSUMER PROTECTION; THE ALLIANCE FOR SOLAR CHOICE;	
21 22 23	NEVADANS FOR CLEAN AFFORDABLE RELIABLE ENERGY; NEVADA POWER CO. d/b/a NV ENERGY and SIERRA PACIFIC POWER CO. d/b/a NV ENERGY; THE NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S BUREAU OF CONSUMER PROTECTION; THE ALLIANCE FOR SOLAR CHOICE; SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION; and GREAT BASIN	
21 22 23 24	NEVADANS FOR CLEAN AFFORDABLE RELIABLE ENERGY; NEVADA POWER CO. d/b/a NV ENERGY and SIERRA PACIFIC POWER CO. d/b/a NV ENERGY; THE NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S BUREAU OF CONSUMER PROTECTION; THE ALLIANCE FOR SOLAR CHOICE; SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION; and GREAT BASIN SOLAR COALITION;	
21 22 23 24 25	NEVADANS FOR CLEAN AFFORDABLE RELIABLE ENERGY; NEVADA POWER CO. d/b/a NV ENERGY and SIERRA PACIFIC POWER CO. d/b/a NV ENERGY; THE NEVADA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S BUREAU OF CONSUMER PROTECTION; THE ALLIANCE FOR SOLAR CHOICE; SOLAR ENERGY INDUSTRIES ASSOCIATION; and GREAT BASIN	

Case Appeal Statement

1	1. Name of appellant filing this case appeal statement: Vote Solar			
2	2. Identify the judge issuing the decision, judgment, or order appealed from:			
3		The Honorable James E. Wilson, Jr., District Court Judge, First Judicial District Court of the		
4	St	State of Nevada in and for Carson City, Department 2.		
5	3.	3. Identify each appellant and the name and address of counsel for each appellant:		
6		Petitioner-Appellant Vote Solar is represented by the following counsel:		
7			EARTHJUSTICE	
8	Christopher W. Mixson, Esq. NV Bar No. 10685 5594-B Longley Lane Reno, NV 89511	Jill M. Tauber, Esq. (<i>pro hac vice)</i> 1625 Massachusetts Avenue, Suite 702 Washington, DC 20036		
10		Sara R. Gersen, Esq. (pro hac vice) 800 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1000		
11			Los Angeles, CA 90017	
12	4. Identify each respondent and the name and address of appellate counsel for each respondent (if the name of a respondent's appellate counsel is u indicate as much and provide the name and address of that respondent's		and address of appellate counsel, if known,	
13				
14		counsel):	X	
15	The respondents have not at this time identified appellate counsel. Counsel for			
16	respondents in the First Judicial District Court in the State of Nevada are as follows:			
17		For the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada:	For Nevadans for Clean Affordable Reliable Energy:	
18		Garrett E. Weir, Esq.	Western Resource Advocates	
19		Hayley Williamson, Esq. 1150 E. William St.	Robert G. Johnston, Esq. 550 W. Musser St., Suite H	
20	Carson City, NV 89701-3109	Carson City, NV 89703		
21		For Nevada Power Co. d/b/a NV Energy and Sierra Pacific Power Co. d/b/a NV Energy:	For the Nevada Attorney General's Bureau of Consumer Protection:	
22	NV Energy		Eric Witkoski, Esq.	
23	Elizabeth Elliott, Esq. 6100 Neil Rd.	Michael Saunders, Esq. 10791 W. Twain Ave., Suite 100		
24		Reno, NV 89511	Las Vegas, NV 89135-3022	
25	Leif Reid, Esq. 50 W. Liberty St., Ste. 410 Reno, NV 89501			
26				
27				
28				

-1-Case Appeal Statement

1	For The Alliance for Solar Choice:	For the Solar Energy Industries Association:	
2	McDonald Carano Wilson, LLP Kathleen Drakulich, Esq. Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq.	McDonald Carano Wilson, LLP Lucas M. Foletta, Esq. 100 W. Liberty St., 10th Floor	
4	100 W. Liberty Street, 10th Floor Reno, NV 89501	Reno, NV 89501	
5	Morrison & Foerster, LLP Arturo J. Gonzalez (pro hac vice requested)	For the Great Basin Solar Coalition:	
6	Christopher J. Carr (pro hac vice requested) Yonatan E. Braude (pro hac vice requested)	Jerry M. Snyder 429 Plumb Ln.	
7 8	Navi S. Dhillon (pro hac vice requested) 425 Market St. San Francisco, CA 94105-2482	Reno, NV 89509	
9	Morrison & Foerster, LLP		
10	Joseph R. Palmore (pro hac vice requested) 2000 Pennsylvania Ave., NW Washington, DC 20006		
11			
12		ed above in response to question 3 or 4 is not	
13	licensed to practice law in Nevada and, if so, whether the district court granted that attorney permission to appear under SCR 42 (attach a copy of any district court order granting such permission):		
14	Jill Tauber and Sara Gersen of the Earthjustice law firm are not licensed to practice law in		
15	Nevada. The district court granted Ms. Tauber and Ms. Gersen permission to appear under SCR		
16			
17		Yonatan E. Braude, Navi S. Dhillon and Joseph R.	
18		v firm, are not licensed to practice law in Nevada.	
19			
20	granted by the district court at the time of filing	•	
21	Appellant Vote Solar herein.		
22		ented by appointed or retained counsel in the	
23	district court:	once by appointed or recurred countries in the	
24	Petitioner-Appellant Vote Solar was repr	esented by retained counsel in district court.	
2526	7. Indicate whether appellant is represen appeal:	ted by appointed or retained counsel on	
	Petitioner-Appellant Vote Solar is repres	ented by retained counsel on appeal.	
27 28	8. Indicate whether appellant was grante date of entry of the district court order	d leave to proceed in forma pauperis, and the granting such leave:	

26

27

28

Petitioner-Appellant Vote Solar did not seek and was not granted leave to proceed in forma pauperis.

9. Indicate the date the proceedings commenced in the district court (e.g., date complaint, indictment, information, or petition was filed):

The district court proceeding was initiated on March 4, 2016, by the filing of Vote Solar's Petition for Judicial Review with the First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada.

10. Provide a brief description of the nature of the action and result in the district court, including the type of judgment or order being appealed and the relief granted by the district court:

The Petition for Judicial Review was filed seeking judicial review of two orders issued by Respondent the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada in its consolidated regulatory Docket Nos. 15-07041 and 15-07042. Those orders approved a new electric rate structure to be applied to 1) NV Energy's customers who have already installed rooftop solar panels on their homes or small businesses, and 2) customers who install rooftop solar panels in the future. Vote Solar sought judicial review of the Commission's orders because Vote Solar's substantial rights had been prejudiced by the orders, which were in violation of constitutional and statutory provisions; in excess of the statutory authority of the Commission; made upon unlawful procedure; affected by other error of law; clearly erroneous in view of the reliable, probative, and substantial evidence on the whole record; and/or arbitrary or capricious or characterized by abuse of discretion. More specifically, Vote Solar argued that the orders 1) violated the constitutional due process rights of existing rooftop solar customers because they were not adequately notified that the electric utility rates for those existing rooftop solar customers were at risk of being changed in the course of the Commission's proceeding, and 2) violated Nevada law that requires electric utility providers to offer "net metering" because the new rate structure ended net metering for affected customers. On September 12, 2016, the District Court entered the final Order in this action, which granted Vote Solar's petition in part by finding that the Commission's orders violated the due process rights of existing net metering customers, but denied Vote Solar's petition in part by upholding the Commission's rate structure for customers who install rooftop solar in the future.

11. Indicate whether the case has previously been the subject of an appeal to or original writ proceeding in the Supreme Court and, if so, the caption and Supreme Court

1	docket number of the prior proceeding:		
2	Petitioner-Appellant Vote Solar is not aware of any previous appeals or original		
3	proceedings in the Nevada Supreme Court.		
4	12. Indicate whether this appeal involves child custody or visitation:		
5	This appeal does not involve child custody or visitation.		
6	13. If this is a civil case, indicate whether this appeal involves the possibility of settlement:		
7 8	Petitioner-Appellant Vote Solar does not believe this appeal involves the possibility of		
9	settlement at this time.		
10	Affirmation pursuant to NRS 239B.030		
11	The undersigned hereby affirms that the foregoing does not contain the social security		
12	number of any person.		
13	Dated this 2nd day of November, 2016.		
14	Respectfully submitted,		
15	M-M-		
16	By: WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO		
17	SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP Christopher W. Mixson. Esq.		
18	Nevada Bar No. 10685 5594-B Longley Lane		
19	Reno, NV 89511 (775) 853-6787 / Fax: (775) 853-6774		
20	cmixson@wrslawyers.com		
21	EARTHJUSTICE Jill M. Tauber, Esq. (pro hac vice)		
22 23	1625 Massachusetts Avenue, Ste. 702 Washington, DC 20036 (202) 667-4500		
24	jtauber@earthjustice.org		
25	Sara R. Gersen, Esq. (pro hac vice) 800 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 1000		
26	Los Angeles, CA 90017 (415) 217-2005		
27	sgersen@earthjustice.org		
28	Attorneys for Petitioner-Appellant Vote Solar		

-4-Case Appeal Statement

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2	I hereby certify that I have this day served the foregoing CASE APPEAL STATEMENT		
3	upon all parties of record by mailing a copy, properly addressed with postage prepaid, to the		
4	following:		
5	For the Public Utilities Commission of Nevada:	For Nevadans for Clean Affordable Reliable Energy:	
6 7	Garrett E. Weir, Esq. Hayley Williamson, Esq.	Robert G. Johnston, Esq. Western Resource Advocates	
8	General Counsel Public Utilities Commission of Nevada	550 W. Musser Street, Suite H Carson City, NV 89703	
9	1150 E. William Street Carson City, NV 89701-3109		
10	For Nevada Power Co. d/b/a NV Energy and Sierra Pacific Power Co. d/b/a NV Energy:		
11 12	Elizabeth Elliott, Esq. NV Energy	Kathleen Drakulich, Esq. Adam Hosmer-Henner, Esq. McDonald Carano Wilson, LLP	
13	6100 Neil Rd. Reno, NV 89511	100 W. Liberty Street, 10th Floor Reno, NV 89501	
14	Leif Reid, Esq.		
15	Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie, LLP 50 W. Liberty St., Ste. 410 Reno, NV 89501		
16 17	For the Nevada Attorney General's Bureau of Consumer Protection:	For the Solar Energy Industries Association:	
18	Eric Witkoski, Esq. Michael Saunders, Esq.	Lucas M. Foletta, Esq. McDonald Carano Wilson, LLP	
19	10791 W. Twain Avenue, Suite 100 Las Vegas, NV 89135-3022	100 W. Liberty Street, 10th Floor Reno, NV 89501	
20			
21	For Great Basin Solar Coalition:		
22	Jerry M. Snyder 429 Plumb Ln.		
23	Reno, NV 89509		
24	À.		
25	Dated this day of November, 2016.	Laura Smal	
26	₹	aura Simar,	
27		n employee of Wolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, chulman & Rabkin LLP	

28