

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs filed the present case on November 5, 2007 in the district court for the Western District of Washington captioned Northwest Coalition for Alternatives to Pesticides v. NMFS, No. 07-1791-RSL (W.D. Wash), in which Plaintiffs seek (a) a judgment declaring that NMFS's failure to complete section 7(a)(2) consultations on 37 pesticides in a timely fashion violates section 7(b)(1) of the ESA and its implementing regulations and section 706(1) of the Administrative Procedure Act ("APA"), and (b) an order compelling NMFS to complete the consultations within two years;

WHEREAS, on July 2, 2002, the district court for the Western District of Washington, in Washington Toxics Coalition v. EPA, No. C01-132C, (W.D. Wash, July 2, 2002), ordered the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") to consult with NMFS, as required under section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act ("ESA"), to ensure that 54 EPA-registered pesticides will not jeopardize the survival and recovery of 26 threatened and endangered salmon and steelhead species and will not adversely modify their designated critical habitat;

WHEREAS, pursuant to the Washington Toxics order, between August 2002 and December 2004, EPA began to initiate consultations with NMFS on 37 pesticides EPA determined "may affect" listed salmon and steelhead in the Pacific Northwest;

WHEREAS, over five years have passed since EPA began to initiate the first of the court-ordered consultations;

WHEREAS, NMFS has not completed the consultations initiated between August 2002 and December 2004, nor has NMFS issued any biological opinions;

Settlement Agreement

28

U.S. Department of Justice P.O. Box 7369 Washington, D.C. 20044-7369 WHEREAS, NMFS understands that it is generally EPA's intention to make public the draft biological opinions it receives from NMFS regarding pesticide actions under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act ("FIFRA");

WHEREAS, it is in the interests of the parties and judicial economy to resolve the claims in this lawsuit without protracted litigation; and

WHEREAS, the parties enter this Settlement Agreement without any admission of fact or law, or any waiver of any factual or legal claims or defenses.

NOW, THEREFORE, the parties agree and stipulate to the following:

- 1. NMFS will complete the consultations on the 37 pesticides at issue in this case consistent with requirements of the ESA and its implementing regulations and in accordance with the schedule mutually agreed to by the parties and attached to this settlement agreement as Attachment 1 ("Consultation Schedule"). NMFS agrees to conduct the consultations pursuant to the regulations set forth in 50 C.F.R. 402.01- 402.14.
- 2. Given that EPA has consented to the public release of a draft of the biological opinion for chlorpyrifos, malathion, and diazinon (identified as Biological Opinion 1 in the Consultation Schedule), on or before July 31, 2008, NMFS shall provide the public with a draft of Biological Opinion 1 that shall be identical to any draft provided to EPA, including any draft reasonable and prudent alternatives and incidental take statements provided to EPA on or before that date. NMFS shall consider any timely comments received on draft Biological Opinion 1 as

Settlement Agreement

NMFS completes the consultations in accordance with the Consultation Schedule.

7

8 9

11 12

10

14

13

16

15

17

18 19

20

22 23

21

24 25

26

27 28

3.	The parties agree that neither this settlement agreement nor any of its terms,
including the	e provision of the draft biological opinion referred to in paragraph 2, supra, act as res
judicata or c	reate or establish precedent before this Court or any future tribunal.

- 4. NMFS may modify the Consultation Schedule by reordering Biological Opinions 7 through 17 (as identified in the Consultation Schedule), so long as any such modification is in the public interest and NMFS completes all of the biological opinions listed in the Consultation Schedule by February 29, 2012.
- 5. Defendants represent that they intend to make every effort to comply with the terms of this Stipulation in good faith. If, however, through unforseen circumstances, events should change after the Stipulation becomes effective, Defendants will notify all other parties of record as soon as reasonably possible of the change and the reason therefor. The parties agree to attempt to work reasonably toward a mutually acceptable solution. In the event a solution is reached, the parties shall jointly move this Court to amend the Stipulation, as the parties agree that this Stipulation may be amended or modified only by order of this Court. If the parties are unable to agree, the parties reserve the right to seek judicial intervention to enforce, alter, or amend the terms and conditions of this Stipulation.
- 6. Based on Defendants' agreement to complete 37 consultations with the issuance of biological opinions in accordance with the Consultation Schedule, Plaintiffs agree to dismiss their action with prejudice. Nothing in this Stipulation shall preclude Plaintiffs from bringing

Settlement Agreement

claims challenging any final biological opinions issued in accordance with the Consultation Schedule. Any challenge to a final biological opinion issued in accordance with the Consultation Schedule will be brought in a new lawsuit.

- 7. Defendants agree that Plaintiffs are the "prevailing party" in this action, and agree to pay to Plaintiffs reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, pursuant to Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA), 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A). Therefore, Defendants agree to settle all of Plaintiffs' claims for costs and attorneys' fees in the above-captioned litigation for a total of \$41,000.00. A check will be made payable in that amount to Plaintiffs' undersigned counsel, Earthjustice, c/o Joshua Osborne-Klein, 705 Second Avenue, Suite 203, Seattle, Washington 98104-1711.
- 8. Defendants agree to submit all necessary paperwork for the processing of the attorneys' fee award to the Department of the Commerce's, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A), within ten (10) business days of receipt of the court order approving this stipulation.
- 9. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter and allow this action to be reopened for the purpose of enabling the Parties to this Stipulated Settlement Agreement to apply to the Court for any further order that may be necessary to construe, carry out, enforce compliance and/or resolve any dispute regarding the terms or conditions of this Stipulated Settlement Agreement, and for granting any further relief as the interests of justice may require.

Settlement Agreement

26

27

28

- 10. This Stipulated Settlement Agreement shall become effective upon the date of its entry by the Court. If for any reason the District Court does not enter this Stipulated Settlement Agreement, the obligations set forth in this Agreement are null and void.
- 11. This Stipulation is not to be construed as a concession by any party as to the validity of any fact or legal position concerning the claims or defenses in this action.
- 12. Nothing in this Stipulation shall be interpreted or constitute a commitment or requirement that the agencies to obligate or pay funds in contravention of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341.
- 13. Nothing in this Stipulation shall be construed to limit or modify the discretion accorded the agencies by law with respect to the procedures to be followed in completing the actions set forth above or the substance of any biological opinion.

Each undersigned representative of the parties certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into and execute the terms and conditions of this settlement agreement.

Date: July 30, 2008

Settlement Agreement

-7-

Filed 07/30/2008

Page 7 of 7

Case 2:07-cv-01791-RSL Document 20