

State of New York Office of the Attorney General

BARBARA D. UNDERWOOD Acting Attorney General DIVISION OF APPEALS & OPINIONS NEW YORK CITY BUREAU

May 25, 2018

Mark Langer Clerk of the Court U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit E. Barrett Prettyman U.S. Courthouse 333 Constitution Ave., NW Washington, DC 20001

> Re: Petitioners' Joint Response to FRAP 28(j) Letter in <u>Air</u> <u>Alliance Houston v. EPA</u>, Docket Nos. 17-1155, 17-1181

Dear Mr. Langer,

Petitioners submit this response to EPA's notification that it has signed a pre-publication proposal on which it intends to take notice-andcomment.¹ If finalized, that proposal suggests that EPA would rescind critical protections of the 2017 Accident Prevention Amendments, while further delaying others that the Delay Rule under review postponed until February 2019.

EPA's proposal does not change the need for a swift disposition of this expedited proceeding to end the harm from the Delay Rule. EPA now proposes to exacerbate that harm by *further delaying* even those requirements the proposal retains (Proposal 44-47), including the emergency response coordination that would have been required by March 2018 before the impending hurricane season.

¹ The proposal is available at: https://www.epa.gov/rmp/proposed-risk-management-program-rmp-reconsideration-rule.

Indeed, EPA does not argue that its proposal moots this case or provides grounds to defer a ruling. Nor could it; EPA's unlawful Delay Rule must be evaluated on the record the agency created for its action at the time, and an after-the-fact proposal cannot provide grounds to support an unlawful rule. *See SEC v. Chenery Corp.*, 332 U.S. 194, 196-97 (1947). Under similar circumstances, the Second Circuit recently vacated an agency's delay of a final rule, notwithstanding the proposal of a replacement rule just before oral argument.²

EPA's proposal also contains statements inconsistent with EPA's representations to this Court. For example, EPA characterized the Amendments' immediate compliance obligations as minor and "non-substantive" (Br. 20), yet proposes to rescind nearly all of these important changes (Proposal 11). EPA justified its unlawful delay based on the announcement that arson caused the West Fertilizer incident (Br. 30), but still cites no evidence of security concerns regarding the accident prevention measures and even "reaffirms" that, regardless of cause, this incident demonstrates the need for enhanced safety measures (Proposal 74-75). And EPA previously insisted that its delay did not affect post-2019 deadlines (Br. 14), but the proposal would formally extend those compliance deadlines as *a direct consequence* of the Delay Rule (Proposal 93-94).

² NRDC v. NHTSA, No. 17-2780 (2d Cir. April 23, 2018), ECF No. 194; see Notice of Proposed Rulemaking (Mar. 27, 2018), available at https://www.nhtsa.gov/sites/nhtsa.dot.gov/files/documents/nprm_cafefines-03262018_0.pdf.

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ David S. Frankel</u> David S. Frankel (212) 416-6197 david.frankel@ag.ny.gov

Barbara D. Underwood Attorney General of the State of New York Steven C. Wu Deputy Solicitor General David S. Frankel Assistant Solicitor General Michael J. Myers Laura Mirman-Heslin Assistant Attorneys General

Counsel for Petitioner States of New York, Illinois, Iowa, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Mexico, Oregon, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Washington

/s/ Emma C. Cheuse (by permission)

Emma C. Cheuse Gordon E. Sommers EARTHJUSTICE 1625 Massachusetts Ave., NW Suite 702 Washington, DC 20036 (202) 667-4500 <u>echeuse@earthjustice.org</u> gsommers@earthjustice.org Counsel for Community Petitioners Air Alliance Houston, California Communities Against Toxics, Clean Air Council, Coalition For A Safe Environment, Community In-Power & Development Association, Del Amo Action Committee, Environmental Integrity Project, Louisiana Bucket Brigade, Ohio Valley Environmental Coalition, Sierra Club, Texas Environmental Justice Advocacy Services, Union of Concerned Scientists, and Utah Physicians for a Healthy Environment

/s/ Susan J. Eckert (by permission)

Susan J. Eckert Joseph M. Santarella Jr. SANTARELLA & ECKERT, LLC 7050 Puma Trail Littleton, CO 80125 (303) 932-7610 susaneckert.sellc@comcast.net jmsantarella.sellc@comcast.net

Counsel for Petitioner-Intervenor United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Service Workers International Union, AFL-CIO/CLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 25th day of May, 2018, I have served Petitioners' **Joint Response to EPA's FRAP 28(j) Letter** on all registered counsel through the Court's electronic filing system (ECF).

> <u>/s/ David S. Frankel</u> David S. Frankel

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH WORD LIMITATIONS

Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 32(g)(1), I hereby certify that Petitioners' **Joint Response to EPA's FRAP 28(j) Letter**, filed on May 25, 2018, contains 350 words as counted by the word count feature of Microsoft Word. This is in compliance with Fed. R. App. P. 28(j).

DATED: May 25, 2018

<u>/s/ David S. Frankel</u> David S. Frankel