

NRDC

TOXIC COAL ASH IN WYOMING Addressing Coal Plants' Hazardous Legacy

Wyoming has 26 coal ash dumpsites.

For decades, utilities disposed of coal ash – the hazardous substance left after burning coal for energy – by dumping it in unlined ponds and landfills. Coal ash contains hazardous pollutants

including arsenic, boron, cobalt, chromium, lead, lithium, mercury, molybdenum, radium, selenium, and other heavy metals, which have been linked to cancer, heart and thyroid disease, reproductive failure, and neurological harm. Industry's own data indicate that across the country 91% of coal plants are currently contaminating groundwater above federal health standards with toxic pollutants.¹

Coal ash remains one of our nation's largest toxic industrial waste streams. U.S. coal plants continue to produce approximately 70 million tons every year.²

Despite EPA's 2015 Coal Ash Rule, which created the first-ever safeguards for coal ash disposal, many coal ash dumps remain unregulated due to sweeping exemptions for legacy coal ash ponds and inactive landfills. The exempted coal ash dumps are sited disproportionately in low-income communities and communities of color. The EPA will issue a proposed rule to address these exemptions in May 2023.

Wyoming utilities operate **18 federally regulated coal ash ponds and landfills** containing more than 53 million cubic yards of toxic waste at four power plants (Table 1). Coal ash has caused groundwater contamination at all of Wyoming's regulated dumpsites. Some of these dumps are contaminating water at dozens or even hundreds of times the safe levels of certain pollutants. Two of them, Naughton Power Plant and Jim Bridger

Power Plant, are the 3rd and 4th most contaminated

Coal ash is leaching unsafe levels of toxic pollutants into groundwater at 91% of coal plants in the United States.

coal ash sites in the U.S., respectively.³ Despite the serious and widespread water contamination, no Wyoming company, to date, has initiated a plant-wide cleanup to restore groundwater, despite the legal requirement to do so.

In addition, Wyoming hosts at least **eight** *unregulated* **inactive coal ash landfills and legacy ponds** that escape federal regulation (Table 2). The exact number remains unknown because utilities are not required to report these sites. These dumps are almost certainly contaminating water and threatening health and the environment; however, monitoring data are not currently available for most unregulated sites. As we anticipate EPA's proposed rule on legacy ponds and unregulated landfills in May 2023, a concern remains that the agency will not address coal ash that was dumped off site or used as fill.

Action Needed

The magnitude of harm from recklessly dumped toxic coal ash requires decisive action from federal and state regulators. Utilities must be required to comply with the law and immediately clean up their pollution.⁴ EPA and states must make enforcement a priority and act quickly to ensure that utilities leave communities with sites that benefit rather than harm their health, environment, and economic status. EPA must swiftly strengthen the Coal Ash Rule to address the many legacy ponds and inactive landfills that are unregulated, and to prohibit coal ash used as fill unless protective measures are put in place, to ensure all Wyoming communities are protected from coal ash pollution.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

 $\label{eq:christine Santillana, Legislative Counsel, Earthjustice csantillana@earthjustice.org$

Table 1: 18 Regulated Coal Ash Disposal Sites in Wyoming

Coal Plant	City	Owner	Coal Ash Dumps	Groundwater Contamination from Coal Ash Magnitude of exceedance above federal health-based guidelines ⁵
Dave Johnston	Glen Rock	PacifiCorp	1 lined pond, 1 unlined pond, 1 landfill	Arsenic (x1), Boron (x4), Cadmium (x2), Cobalt (x3), Lead (x2), Lithium (x1), Molybdenum (x10), Sulfate (x2)
Jim Bridger	Point of Rocks	PacifiCorp	2 unlined ponds, 1 landfill	Antimony (x1), Arsenic (x4), Boron (x9), Cadmium (x3), Cobalt (x92), Fluoride (x3), Lead (x4), Lithium (x164), Molybdenum (x10), Radium 226+228 (x2), Selenium (x85), Sulfate (x125), Thallium (x11)
Laramie River	Wheatland	Basin Electric Power Coop	5 unlined ponds, 1 landfill	Boron (x2), Lithium (x3), Molybdenum (x5), Sulfate (x9)
Naughton	Kemmerer	PacifiCorp	2 lined ponds, 4 unlined ponds	Antimony (x2), Arsenic (x10), Barium (x1), Beryllium (x2), Boron (x16), Cadmium (x2), Chromium (x3), Cobalt (x13), Lead (x16), Lithium (x242), Molybdenum (x3), Radium 226+228 (x1), Selenium (x150), Sulfate (x66), Thallium (x9)

For more information on regulated coal ash dumpsites in Wyoming, see earthjustice.org/coalash/map.

Table 2: Eight Unregulated Coal Ash Legacy Ponds and Inactive Landfills inWyoming (ash dumps exempted from the 2015 Coal Ash Rule)6

Coal Plant or Landfill	City	Probable Owner / Source	# of Unregulated Ponds	# of Unregulated Landfills	Evidence of Site Contamination
Jim Bridger	Point of Rocks	PacifiCorp	0	1	Yes – Industry dataª
Naughton	Kemmerer	PacifiCorp	0	1	Yes – Industry dataª
Osage	Osage	City of Osage	2	2	Unknown
Wyodak	Gillette	PacifiCorp	1	1	Unknown

^a All data derived from the utilities' publicly accessible <u>CCR Compliance Data and Information websites</u>.

FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION Christine Santillana, Legislative Counsel, Earthjustice csantillana@earthjustice.org

Endnotes

¹ Earthjustice and Environmental Integrity Project, "Poisonous Coverup, The Widespread Failure of the Power Industry to Clean Up Coal Ash Dumps," *available at* <u>https://earthjustice.org/document/</u> <u>poisonous-coverup</u>.

² American Coal Ash Association, 2020 CCP Production and Use Survey Report, <u>https://acaa-usa.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/News-Release-Coal-Ash-Production-and-Use-2020.pdf</u>.

³ *See* endnote 1, "Poisonous Coverup," *supra*, at Table A4, Summary of Contamination by Site.

⁴ *See* endnote 1, *supra*, for more information re widespread utility non-compliance with the 2015 Coal Ash Rule.

⁵ All data derived from the utilities' publicly accessible <u>CCR Compliance Data and Information</u> <u>websites</u>, and exceedances were calculated by Environmental Integrity Project.

⁶ These data were developed by using EPA datasets relied upon in their 2007 and 2014 CCR risk assessments (Human and Ecological Risk Assessment of Coal Combustion Residuals) and comparing those datasets to the universe of regulated units.