# IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE SECOND JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR LEON COUNTY, FLORIDA

INC., et al.,	
Plaintiffs,	
v.	Case No.: 2015-CA-001423
JOE NEGRON, as President of the Florida Senate, <i>et al.</i> ,	
Defendants.	<u>I</u>
FLORIDA DEFENDERS OF THE ENVIRONMENT, INC., et al.,	
Plaintiffs,	
V.	Case No. 2015-CA-002682
KEN DETZNER, in his official capacity a Florida Secretary of State, <i>et al.</i> ,	s
Defendants.	

## PLAINTIFFS' JOINT MOTION TO VACATE AUTOMATIC STAY

Plaintiffs Florida Wildlife Federation, Inc., Sierra Club, Inc., St. Johns Riverkeeper, Inc., Environmental Confederation of Southwest Florida, Inc., and Manley Fuller ("FWF Plaintiffs"), Florida Defenders of the Environment, Inc., Steven J. Robitaille, Joseph W. Little, James P. Clugston, Lola Holland, Steven M. Holland, and W. Thomas Hawkins ("FDE Plaintiffs") move to vacate the automatic stay arising pursuant to Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.310(b)(2).

On June 28, 2018, the court entered final judgment in this matter, ruling that "[t]he clear intent [of Article X, section 28 of the Florida Constitution, the Land Acquisition Trust Fund amendment] was to create a trust fund to purchase new conservation lands and take care of them." Final Judgment for Plaintiffs at 5. The court stated on the record that it had read the constitutional provision "well over a hundred times" and indicated that no other interpretation was supportable. Hearing Transcript at 83. This court rejected out of hand the Defendants' contention that the Legislature was free to use the Land Acquisition Trust Fund moneys for any other purpose.

The court's ruling should have ended the more than three-year period during which, as the court recognized, the Legislature has systematically disregarded the constitutional restrictions imposed by Article X, section 28. But governmental parties have taken an appeal, which has the effect of staying the trial court's judgment even as yet another legislative session is fast approaching. *See* Fla. R. App. P. 9.310(b)(2). Recognizing that the trial court will ordinarily be most familiar with the facts of a given case, Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.310(b)(2) grants this court the authority to vacate the stay, and it should do so.

The likelihood that the court's judgment will be affirmed is more than substantial. The judgment on appeal merely gave effect to the plain language of Article X, section 28. The appellants' unpersuasive arguments otherwise

underscore the fact that the likelihood of their overturning this court's judgment is remote and speculative. Notably, in their motion for rehearing, the parties now appealing had little to say on the merits of the judgment, arguing principally and at length that some (but not all) of the plaintiffs were not entitled even to declaratory relief. Plainly warranted, declaratory relief lies at the heart of the case. The court's commonsense explication of Article X, section 28's effect should not be ignored during the pendency of the appeal. The court's final judgment in this case is eminently correct and should be given immediate effect.

Failure to vacate the automatic stay will cause irreparable harm to citizens of Florida, present and future, whose quality of life will be affected by the unavailability of Land Acquisition Trust Fund moneys unconstitutionally diverted. Not every Floridian can afford her own hunting preserve or a private beach. Dissolving the stay of the court's judgment can help assure that the real and important restorative effects of time spent in natural environments are available to all Floridians. There is no time like the present.

Critically, Land Acquisition Trust Fund moneys must be fully available to purchase "lands that protect water resources." Art. X, § 28, Fla. Const. The Legislature has identified the cause of algae outbreaks in Lake Okeechobee: "land uses in the Lake Okeechobee watershed . . . have resulted in algal blooms . . . both in Lake Okeechobee and in downstream receiving waters." § 393.4595(1)(b) Fla.

Stat. (2006). Those receiving waters include the St. Lucie and Caloosahatchee Rivers and coastal waters to the north and south of them, almost all of which have been covered with toxic green algae and red tide. *See* Executive Order 18-191, https://www.flgov.com/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/EO-18-191.pdf (declaring state of emergency due to toxic green algae outbreaks); Executive Order 18-221, https://www.flgov.com/wp-content/uploads/orders/2018/EO\_18-221.pdf (state of emergency due to red tide coastal waters north and south of the Caloosahatchee).

Acquisition of land to store water and to protect surface water resources is a specific goal of land acquisition, §§ 259.105(5)(d)(1), 259.105(2)(a)(9), and the Land Acquisition Trust Fund Amendment fosters those goals by dedicating funds to acquire "lands that protect water resources." Art. X, § 28, Fla. Const. Regulatory programs have plainly failed to prevent pollution discharges attributable to certain uses of private land; and the Legislature has long recognized acquisition of land as necessary to "protect significant surface water" resources which cannot "be accomplished through local and state regulatory programs." § 259.032(1)(d), Fla. Stat. Thus, land acquisition will be a principal component in any solution to the current algae outbreak that is creating a public health emergency and devastating parts of the economy of Central and South Florida. *See*, Executive Order 18-191, *supra*.

If the stay is not lifted, the Legislature can continue to spend Land Acquisition Trust Fund moneys on agency operations and for other purposes instead of buying land to address the toxic algae emergency. Failure to vacate the automatic stay threatens irreparable harm to the citizens and the economy of Florida. Dissolving the stay serves "the policy of the state that the citizens of this state shall be assured public ownership of natural areas for purposes of maintaining this state's unique natural resources; protecting air, land, and water quality." § 259.032 (1), Fla. Stat. (2018). Time is of the essence.

Article X, section 28 is, indeed, a time-limited provision. *See* Art. X, § 28, Fla. Const. ("for a period of 20 years . . . the Land Acquisition Trust Fund shall receive" specified revenues). Defendants' counsel acknowledged that under the court's "interpretation, all \$600 million [per annum] would have to go to acquiring new land, at least in the initial years, and then once that land is acquired then you can start putting some money towards managing those lands." Hearing Transcript at 65-66. Once the fiscal year has passed, restoring to its rightful place money that has been unconstitutionally appropriated and expended is no easy matter. The First District alluded to this circumstance in characterizing the case as one that "involves appropriations that expired two years ago." Corcoran v. Florida Wildlife Federation, No. 1D18-3141 (Fla. 1st DCA Aug. 29, 2018).

Defendants recognized these realities in stating that if the First District "were to affirm and the Legislative Parties were to seek further review, then [the First District] could consider a request to vacate the automatic stay before the next legislative session." The Legislative Parties' Response to the FWF and FDE's Suggestion for Certification at 4. There is no good reason to allow (an)other legislative session(s) to roll by while the appeals process unfolds, before addressing the issue of a stay. This is especially true where the Legislative Parties have the right to invoke a stay of further judicial proceedings, and have in fact already done so in the course of this litigation. *See* § 11.111, Fla. Stat. (2018); The Legislative Parties' Notice of Invoking Continuance Pursuant to Section 11.111, Florida Statutes.

#### CONCLUSION

Wherefore, FWF Plaintiffs and FDE Plaintiffs move to vacate the automatic stay arising by virtue of Florida Rule of Appellate Procedure 9.310(b)(2).

Respectfully submitted on this 19th day of September, 2018.

s/Joseph W. Little
Joseph W. Little
Fla. Bar No. 196749
3731 NW 13th Place
Gainesville, FL 32605
352-372-5955
littlegnv@gmail.com
Attorney for FDE Plaintiffs

s/Alisa Coe
Alisa Coe
Fla. Bar No. 10187
acoe@earthjustice.org
Earthjustice
111 S. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
(850) 681-0031
Attorney for FWF Plaintiffs

David Guest Fla. Bar No. 267228 David@DavidGuestLaw.net 317 East Park Avenue Tallahassee, Florida 32301 (850) 228-3337 Attorney for FWF Plaintiffs

Bradley Marshall
BMarshall@earthjustice.org
Florida Bar No. 0098008
Earthjustice
111 S. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd.
Tallahassee, Florida 32301
(850) 681-0031 (Phone)
(850) 681-0020 (Facsimile)
Attorney for FWF Plaintiffs

Kenneth B. Wright, Esquire Florida Bar No.: 0893791 1301 Riverplace Blvd., Suite 1818 Jacksonville, FL 32207 Telephone: (904) 398-1818 Facsimile: (904) 398-7073 Attorney for FWF Plaintiffs

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing document was electronically served on the following counsel of record on this September 19th, 2018.

Joseph Little 3731 NW 13<sup>th</sup> Place Gainesville, FL 32605 Email: <u>littlegnv@gmail.com</u> Attorney for FDE Plaintiffs

Steven Hall John W. Costigan General Counsel Florida Department of Agriculture And Consumer Services The Mayo Building Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0800 Email:

Steven.hall@freshfromflorida.com
John.costigan@freshfromflorida.com
Attorneys for Defendant, Commissioner of
Agriculture

Wayne E. Flowers

John W. Wallace Lewis, Longman & Walker, P.A. 245 Riverside Ave. Suite 150 Jacksonville, Florida 32202 Pt. (2014) 252 6410

P: (904) 353-6410 F: (904) 353-7619 Wflowers@llw-law.com jwallace@llw-law.com

Secondary email: morourke@llw-law.com Co-Counsel for Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services and Adam Putnam

Carlos A Rey, Asst. General Counsel R A Gray Building, Suite 100 500 South Bronough

Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250

Email:

Carlos.Rey@dos.myflorida.com;

Attorney for Defendant, Florida Secretary of State

Andy Bardos
Tim Moore
Ashley Hoffman Lukis
Gray Robinson P.A.
Post Office Box 11189
Tallahassee, Florida 32302

Telephone: 850-577-9090

Email:

Andy.Bardos@gray-robinson.com tim.moore@gray-robinson.com ashley.lukis@gray-robinson.com

Attorneys for Defendants the Florida Legislature, Senate President Joe Negron, and Speaker Richard Corcoran

George N. Meros Holland & Knight

315 S. Calhoun St., Suite 600 Tallahassee, Florida 32301 Telephone: 850-425-5622

Email:

George. Meros@hklaw.com

Attorney for
Defendants the
Florida Legislature,
Senate President Joe
Negron, and Speaker
Richard Corcoran

Dawn Roberts,
General Counsel
Christie Letarte, Deputy General Counsel
The Florida Senate
302 The Capitol
404 South Monroe Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1100
roberts.dawn@flsenate.gov
letarte.christie@flsenate.gov

### everette.shirlyne@flsenate.gov

Attorneys for Defendant, Senate President

Adam S. Tanenbaum, General Counsel 418 The Capitol 402 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-1300 Adam.tanenbaum@myfloridahouse.gov Adam.tanenbaum1@gmail.com Telephone: (850) 717-5500 Attorney for the Speaker of the Florida House of Representatives

Harold G. Vielhauer
Anthony Pinzino
Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation
Commission
620 South Meridian Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-1600
bud.vielhauer@myfwc.com
anthony.pinzino@myfwc.com
Attorneys for Defendants, Executive
Director and Florida Fish and Wildlife
Commission

Jeffrey Brown
Kelley Corbari
3900 Commonwealth Boulevard
Tallahassee, Florida 32399
Telephone: (850) 245-2242
Facsimile: (850) 245-2302
Jeffrey.Brown@dep.state.fl.us
Kelley.corbari@dep.state.fl.us
Taylor.coram@dep.state.fl.us
Attorneys for Defendants Secretary and
Department of Environmental Protection

David Guest 525 W. 8th Avenue Tallahassee, Florida 32303 Telephone: (850) 228-3337 David@DavidGuestLaw.net Attorney for FWF Plaintiffs

Kenneth Wright
501 Riverside Avenue, Suite 903
Jacksonville, FL 32202
Telephone: (904) 398-1818
Facsimile: (904) 398-7073
ken@jacobsonwright.com
jacobsonwrightpa@gmail.com
service@jacobsonwright.com
Attorney for FWF Plaintiffs

/s/Alisa Coe Attorney