STANDING ROCK SIOUX TRIBE,)
Plaintiff,)
and)
CHEYENNE RIVER SIOUX TRIBE,)
Plaintiff-Intervenor,)
v.)) Case No. 1:16-cv-01534 (JEB)
UNITED STATES ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS,) (consolidated with Cases No.) 1:16-cv-01796 & 1:17-cv-00267)
Defendant,)
and)
DAKOTA ACCESS, LLC,)
Defendant-Intervenor.)

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

UNITED STATES ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS' STATUS REPORT

On April 26, 2021, this Court ordered the Corps to "file a Status Report that gives [1] its latest estimate for the completion of the ongoing EIS and [2] its position, if it has one, on whether an injunction should issue." The Corps respectfully responds as follows:

1. The Corps estimates that the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) will be completed in March of 2022. The Corps expects to use that timeframe to fulfill its commitment to undertaking an open, transparent, and public EIS process which rigorously explores and objectively evaluates reasonable alternatives. Further, the Corps is committed to robust tribal consultations and to actively engaging with the cooperating agencies, which include several

Case 1:16-cv-01534-JEB Document 601 Filed 05/03/21 Page 2 of 3

Plaintiff Tribes, to produce a thorough and comprehensive EIS.

2. In response to the Court's second question, on November 20, 2020, the Corps filed an opposition to Plaintiffs' request for an injunction on the basis that Plaintiffs have not met the applicable standard as set forth in *Monsanto Co. v. Geerston Seed Farms*, 561 U.S. 139 (2010). ECF No. 573. As represented by the undersigned counsel at the April 9 status conference, the injunction motion is fully briefed. Tr. of April 9, 2021 hearing at 15:9-14. This question now rests in the "sound discretion" of the Court. *Winter v. Natural Res. Def. Council*, Inc., 555 U.S. 7, 24 (2008).

3. As to whether an injunction should issue, the EIS process in which the Corps is currently engaged examines many factors including some <u>that may be</u> relevant to the permanent injunction standard. It is possible that in the EIS process the Corps would find new information, but to date the Corps is not aware of information that would cause it to evaluate the injunction factors differently than in its previous filing. ECF No. 573 (citing *Monsanto Co. v. Geerston Seed Farms*, 561 U.S. 139 (2010)).

Date: May 3, 2021

Respectfully submitted,

JEAN E. WILLIAMS Acting Assistant Attorney General United States Department of Justice Environment & Natural Resources Division

By: <u>/s/ Reuben S. Schifman</u> REUBEN SCHIFMAN, NY BAR MATTHEW MARINELLI, IL Bar 6277967 U.S. Department of Justice Natural Resources Section P.O. Box 7611 Benjamin Franklin Station Case 1:16-cv-01534-JEB Document 601 Filed 05/03/21 Page 3 of 3

Phone: (202) 305-4224 (Schifman) Phone: (202) 305-0293 (Marinelli) Fax: (202) 305-0506 reuben.schifman@usdoj.gov matthew.marinelli@usdoj.gov *Attorneys for the United States Army Corps of Engineers*

OF COUNSEL: MILTON BOYD MELANIE CASNER U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Office of Chief Counsel Washington, DC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Reuben Schifman, hereby certify that on May 3, 2021, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, and copies will be sent electronically to the registered participants as identified in the Notice of Electronic Filing.

By: <u>/s/ Reuben S. Schifman</u> REUBEN SCHIFMAN