

1 WILLIAM B. ROSTOV, State Bar No. 184528  
2 IRENE V. GUTIERREZ, State Bar No. 252927  
3 EARTHJUSTICE  
4 50 California Street, Suite 500  
5 San Francisco, CA 94111  
6 T: (415) 217-2000  
7 F: (415) 217-2040

8 *Attorneys for Petitioners Association of Irrigated Residents,*  
9 *Center for Biological Diversity, and Sierra Club*

10 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
11 FOR THE COUNTY OF KERN

12 ASSOCIATION OF IRRITATED RESIDENTS,  
13 CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY,  
14 and SIERRA CLUB, non-profit corporations,

15 Petitioners,

16 vs.

17 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF  
18 CONSERVATION, DIVISION OF OIL, GAS,  
19 AND GEOTHERMAL RESOURCES, and DOES I  
20 through V,

21 Respondents.

22 and

23 AERA ENERGY, LLC, and DOES VI through C,

24 Real Parties in Interest.

) Case No.:

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

)

**VERIFIED PETITION FOR WRIT OF  
MANDATE**

(Cal. Code of Civil Procedure §§ 1085,  
Cal. Public Resources Code §§ 21167 and  
21168.5)



1 amongst the most-polluted communities in the state, with extremely poor air quality and highly  
2 contaminated water sources. Residents of the area are burdened in other ways as well, and suffer  
3 from high levels of poverty and unemployment. By allowing drilling projects to go forward without  
4 environmental review, DOGGR's actions threaten to add to the burdens of already vulnerable  
5 communities.

6 6. Petitioners bring this petition for a writ of mandate to require DOGGR to stop rubber-  
7 stamping permits to drill oil wells, study the environmental impacts of each of the wells it approves,  
8 and suspend the permits issued to Aera Energy until it completes the required environmental review.

## 9 **II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

10 7. This Court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure  
11 sections 1085 and Public Resources Code section 21167. Petitioners have performed all conditions  
12 precedent to filing suit and/or are excused from such conditions.

13 8. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 393, since  
14 the cause of action arose in Kern County and the impacts of DOGGR's actions are felt in Kern  
15 County.

16 9. Petitioners have provided written notice of their intention to file this Petition to  
17 DOGGR, and are including the notice and proof of service as Exhibit A to this Petition.

18 10. Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 388, Petitioners served the Attorney  
19 General with a copy of their Petition along with a notice of its filing, and are including the notice and  
20 proof of service as Exhibit B to this Petition.

## 21 **III. PARTIES**

22 11. Petitioner ASSOCIATION OF IRRITATED RESIDENTS ("AIR") is a California  
23 non-profit corporation based in Kern County. AIR formed in 1991 to advocate for clean air and  
24 environmental justice in San Joaquin Valley communities. AIR has several dozen members who  
25 reside in Kern, Tulare, Kings, Fresno, and Stanislaus Counties. AIR members through themselves,  
26 their families, and friends, have direct experience with the many health impacts that arise from the  
27 type of pollution emissions associated with oil drilling in the South Belridge Oil Field.

1           12.     Petitioner CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY (the “Center”) is a non-profit  
2 corporation with offices in San Francisco and elsewhere in California and throughout the United  
3 States. The Center is actively involved in environmental protection issues throughout California and  
4 North America and has approximately 50,000 members. The Center’s members and staff include  
5 individuals who live, work, and recreate in communities threatened by the oil drilling activities  
6 permitted by DOGGR. The Center has a long history of environmental protection through science,  
7 policy, education, and legal advocacy in California, and through this action seeks to protect public  
8 health, safety, and the environment by requiring DOGGR to comply with the requirements of  
9 CEQA.

10           13.     Petitioner SIERRA CLUB is a national non-profit corporation with approximately  
11 620,000 members, roughly 146,000 of whom live in California. Sierra Club’s Kern-Kaweah chapter  
12 has approximately 650 members in Kern County. Its members are affected by the oil and gas  
13 approvals at issue here. The Sierra Club is dedicated to exploring, enjoying, and protecting the wild  
14 places of the earth; to practicing and promoting the responsible use of the earth’s ecosystems and  
15 resources; to educating and encouraging humanity to protect and restore the quality of the natural  
16 and human environment; and to using all lawful means to carry out these objectives. The Sierra  
17 Club has been actively working in California and elsewhere to address the serious threats to public  
18 health and the environment related to the lack of oversight and safeguards for oil drilling and  
19 fracking activities.

20           14.     By this action, Petitioners seek to protect the health, welfare, environmental,  
21 conservation, economic and other interests of their members, which will be adversely affected by  
22 DOGGR’s permitting of oil drilling and fracking operations, and continued activity at these  
23 operations. In addition, Petitioners and their members seek to enforce a public duty owed to them by  
24 DOGGR. Petitioners and their members have a right to, and a beneficial interest in, DOGGR’s  
25 performance of its duties under CEQA. Unless the relief requested in this case is granted, they will  
26 continue to be adversely affected and irreparably injured by DOGGR’s failure to comply with the  
27 law.



1           20.     The South Belridge Oil Field sits in the southwestern sector of the San Joaquin  
2 Valley, which is plagued by a host of environmental and health problems. The San Joaquin Valley  
3 has some of the worst air quality in the nation – the area is in extreme non-attainment for federal  
4 ozone standards, and has high levels of particulate matter pollution. The area has one of the highest  
5 rates of childhood asthma in the state, and air pollution also results in other negative respiratory and  
6 cardiovascular effects. Water sources in the San Joaquin Valley are heavily contaminated – some  
7 25% of small community water systems in the San Joaquin Valley are in violation of health-based  
8 drinking water standards, including standards for arsenic and nitrates. The San Joaquin Valley also  
9 has some of the highest rates of poverty and unemployment in the state of California.

10           21.     McKittrick, Lost Hills and Buttonwillow are the towns closest to the South Belridge  
11 Oil Field, and are approximately 13, 10 and 16 miles (respectively) from the borders of the oil field.  
12 These towns are ranked as amongst the most-polluted communities in the state. According to the  
13 California Environmental Protection Agency’s environmental health screening tool,  
14 CalEnviroScreen, McKittrick, Lost Hills and Buttonwillow are ranked in the 95<sup>th</sup>, 88<sup>th</sup>, and 92<sup>nd</sup>  
15 percentiles for overall pollution burdens.<sup>1</sup> These communities already suffer from high levels of  
16 exposure to toxic chemicals from industrial facilities (McKittrick is in the 72<sup>nd</sup> percentile), exposure  
17 to hazardous waste (they are ranked, respectively, in the 94<sup>th</sup>, 92<sup>nd</sup> and 85<sup>th</sup> percentiles), and some  
18 of the most polluted drinking water in the state (they are ranked, respectively, in the 90<sup>th</sup>, 98<sup>th</sup>, and  
19 96<sup>th</sup> percentiles).

20           22.     These communities are also vulnerable due to socioeconomic factors. Some 70  
21 percent of McKittrick is unemployed. The towns of Lost Hills and Buttonwillow have majority  
22 Latino populations (93 percent and 63 percent), a sizable proportion of whom live below the poverty  
23 line (96 percent and 60 percent), are considered linguistically isolated (99 percent and 73 percent),  
24 and have less than a high school education (100 percent and 86 percent).

---

25  
26 <sup>1</sup> CalEnviroScreen considers several factors (exposures and environmental effects of pollution  
27 burdens, sensitive populations, socioeconomic factors) when calculating a community’s  
28 CalEnviroScreen score. “100” is the highest possible score, and the higher the percentage score, the  
worse the burdens in a community.

1           23.     Operations in the Belridge Oil Field also affect other sensitive populations. The  
2 Belridge Elementary School sits on the western border of the South Belridge Oil Field. It has  
3 approximately 35 students, some 80 percent of whom are Hispanic and/or Latino. Children are  
4 especially susceptible to air pollution and its health consequences, and are more likely to be affected  
5 than adults by other environmental harms.

6           24.     Like other communities in Kern County, the towns surrounding the Belridge Oil Field  
7 are also severely affected by California’s ongoing drought. The state is entering its fourth year of  
8 drought, and 2014 is the driest year on record since 1977. In January 2014, Governor Jerry Brown  
9 declared a State of Emergency, calling upon state water agencies to develop coordinated water  
10 conservation and drought management plans, and asking residents to reduce water consumption by  
11 20 percent. In an April 2014 press release regarding State Water Project (“SWP”) allocations to  
12 Kern County, the Kern County Water Agency stated that even with additional water from the SWP,  
13 “water storage in the State’s major reservoirs and runoff projections remain well below average,”  
14 and that “the overall picture is still bleak.”

15           **B.     Effects of Oil Production Activities**

16           25.     DOGGR’s issuance of the drilling permit allows the well to be drilled and oil to be  
17 produced. Today production can include techniques like steam injection, fracking, and acidization,  
18 which DOGGR calls “enhanced oil recovery” and “well stimulation.” Production activities create a  
19 host of environmental and health harms and risks, including air pollution, water pollution, and the  
20 need to dispose of the massive quantities of toxic wastewater that accompanies the production.

21           26.     Steam injection is a method applied to heavy-oil reservoirs to boost oil recovery.  
22 During the process, the operator injects steam at very high temperature and pressure into the well.  
23 The well is then closed, allowing the steam to heat up the surrounding formation, which thins the  
24 heavy-oil so that it can more easily flow toward, through, and out of the well. Operators will often  
25 repeat this cycle of inject-soak-and-produce until the response becomes marginal. Repeated steam  
26 injection creates some of the harshest conditions to which a well can be subjected. The process is  
27 known to result in a particularly high rate of well failure and to cause the ground to shift and  
28

1 collapse. Upon information and belief, steam injection is commonly used in the Belridge Oil Field.  
2 In fact, in April 2014, the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District approved  
3 permits which would allow Aera Energy to construct a 100 MMBtu/hr steam generator, and allow  
4 the continued operation of other steam generators, to be used in steam injection of wells.

5 27. Fracking is a technique which pushes a mix of highly pressurized water, chemicals,  
6 and “proppants” (such as sand, ceramic pellets, or other particles used to keep fractures open) into  
7 wells, in order to induce fractures in the surrounding rock, allowing oil and gas to flow more freely  
8 into the production well. Other well stimulation techniques include: “matrix acidization,” which  
9 uses water, sand, and high volumes of acid and other chemicals to corrode the rock surrounding a  
10 well and allow access to oil and gas; and “water injection” or “water flooding,” which injects water  
11 in the well reservoir, to increase pressure and stimulate production in the well. Fracking is used in  
12 the Belridge Oil Field, as is water flooding.

13 28. Drilling, well stimulation, and other oil production activities all cause a range of  
14 harmful environmental and health effects.

15 29. Various aspects of oil drilling result in the release of air pollutants and greenhouse  
16 gases. The engines used during oil drilling, for processes such as pumping and steam generation,  
17 and the trucks used for transportation of materials used in the drilling process and extracted oil, all  
18 release harmful air pollutants and greenhouse gases. High amounts of methane – the gas traps 86  
19 times more heat than carbon dioxide over 20 years – can leak into the atmosphere as oil and gas are  
20 pumped out of the ground. Oil drilling may also result in land and surface water contamination  
21 through spills, leaks, accidents, or improper handling of chemicals onsite.

22 30. Fracking a well creates additional sources of air pollution, including volatile organic  
23 compounds (“VOCs”) and other hazardous air pollutants. VOCs can react in the atmosphere to form  
24 ozone and particular matter, which can cause respiratory ailments such as asthma and bronchitis,  
25 heart attacks, and even premature death. Such impacts are particularly acute in the San Joaquin  
26 Valley and Los Angeles air basins, which already experience some of the worse air pollution in the  
27 nation. EPA has found that some of the largest air pollution emissions in the natural gas industry  
28 occur as wells that have been fracked are prepared for production. During the flowback stage of

1 well completion, fracking fluids, water, and reservoir gas come to the surface at a high velocity and  
2 volume. This mixture includes a large amount of VOCs and methane along with air toxics such as  
3 benzene, ethylbenzene, and n-hexane. Ancillary equipment used in fracking operations, such as  
4 diesel trucks and generators, can also be a significant source of air pollution.

5 31. Oil drilling can also cause the release of toxic chemicals, such as benzene, toluene,  
6 ethylbenzene, xylene, radioactive materials, hydrogen sulfide, arsenic and mercury. The  
7 hydrocarbons extracted from the ground during oil production can contain heavy metals such as  
8 lead, mercury, and arsenic. The extracted petroleum also includes polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons  
9 (PAHs), some of which are carcinogenic or otherwise harmful to human health. The water used  
10 during oil drilling and produced during the drilling process may contain salts, toxic metals and other  
11 substances, and must be disposed of properly to protect groundwater sources and prevent unwanted  
12 exposure to the contaminated water.

13 32. Exposure to toxic substances associated with oil and gas activity results in significant  
14 adverse health effects, including respiratory, cardiovascular, neurologic, and pulmonary illnesses,  
15 damage to the nervous system, immune system, and endocrine system, increased rates of birth  
16 defects and cancer, and harm to eyes, skin, and other sensory organs.

17 33. Fracking and other well stimulation techniques have also been linked with adverse  
18 environmental and health effects. According to an April 2011 report from the U.S. House of  
19 Representatives, oil and gas companies between 2005 and 2009 used fracking products containing  
20 29 chemicals that are: (1) known or possible human carcinogens, (2) regulated under the federal Safe  
21 Drinking Water Act, 42 U.S.C. § 300f *et seq.*, for their risks to human health, or (3) listed as  
22 hazardous air pollutants under the federal Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7401 *et seq.* In addition, at  
23 least one chemical used in the fracking operations at South Belridge Oil Field, ethylene glycol, is  
24 listed under California's Proposition 65 program based on its potential to cause reproductive and  
25 developmental harm. The sand used as a proppant in many of the Belridge Oil Field wells contains  
26 crystalline silica ("silica") a known health hazard. The transport and use of sand to stimulate wells  
27 produces clouds of silica dust which if inhaled by workers, can cause serious health effects,  
28 including lung cancer and silicosis. Finally, other well stimulation techniques, like matrix

1 acidization, rely on toxic acids such as hydrofluoric and hydrochloric acid, which can cause  
2 inflammation of the respiratory tract, eye and skin damage, and can be fatal.

3 34. Given the use of such chemicals, as well as the release of other naturally-occurring  
4 substances from rock formations, the contamination of domestic and agricultural water supplies from  
5 oil production is a major concern. Such contamination can occur through several different  
6 mechanisms. For example, if a well bore is not properly sealed and cased, chemicals and other  
7 materials can escape as they move through the well. Spills of chemicals used in production can  
8 occur on the surface during storage and transportation activities. Wastewater is often disposed of  
9 through underground injection wells, posing a further risk of contamination. In July 2014, DOGGR  
10 ordered the emergency shut-down of 11 oil and gas waste injection sites, and ordered the  
11 investigation of nearly 100 other sites, due to concerns about contamination of protected aquifers.

12 35. Oil production often requires use of large volumes of fresh water. Most of the water  
13 injected underground is either not recovered or is unfit for domestic or agricultural use when it  
14 returns to the surface, and must be treated and disposed of. Water usage is already a major issue in  
15 California, which is in the midst of a severe drought and suffers from water shortages. Not only can  
16 water withdrawals for oil production directly affect the availability of water for other uses, but it can  
17 also indirectly impact water supplies by mobilizing naturally-occurring contaminants, causing land  
18 subsidence, or promoting bacterial growth. At least 144 of Aera Energy's newly permitted wells in  
19 the South Belridge Oil Field draw water from fresh water supplied by the California Aqueduct, or  
20 from Aera's own wells.

21 36. The disposal of the vast quantities of wastewater left behind when the oil is extracted  
22 poses additional risks and harms. As noted above, the wastewater is often injected into disposal  
23 wells, where it can contaminate aquifers. Wastewater is also often stored in open pits, from which it  
24 can leach into groundwater, and where it creates additional harmful air pollution, through the  
25 evaporation of volatile organic compounds and other substances. On information and belief, these  
26 types of disposal pits are used to contain wastewater from the South Belridge Oil Field, and are  
27 located on the southern border of the field.

1           37.     Recent evidence has also found that the underground injection of wastewater  
2 resulting from drilling and fracking operations can induce seismic activity, a serious concern in  
3 California. In June 2012, the National Research Council of the National Academies of Science  
4 released a report finding that the injection of wastewater for disposal poses a risk of causing seismic  
5 events. In recent years, a number of earthquakes in Arkansas, Ohio, Oklahoma, and Texas have  
6 been linked to wastewater disposal associated with oil and gas production. In addition, a recent  
7 study from the British Columbia Oil and Gas Commission found that fluid injection during hydraulic  
8 fracturing in proximity to pre-existing faults resulted in dozens of seismic events in the Horn River  
9 Basin of northeast British Columbia between 2009 and 2011.

10           38.     Oil production is an intense industrial activity which requires large number of vehicle  
11 trips, grading and disturbance of habitat, and creates many other environmental harms, including  
12 risks to wildlife. While the landscape of the South Belridge Oil Field has been severely damaged, it  
13 still provides habitat for the endangered San Joaquin kit fox and other plants and wildlife. In  
14 addition, the California Department of Fish and Wildlife, Lokern Ecological Reserve – an area  
15 intended to conserve rare plants, animals, and habitats, as well as to provide areas for education and  
16 scientific research – is located a few miles south of the field’s southern border.

17           **C.     DOGGR’s Regulation of Oil and Gas Activity**

18           39.     DOGGR, an agency within the California Department of Conservation, has extensive  
19 authority to regulate activities associated with oil and gas production in California, including the  
20 subsurface injection of fluids, and issues permits for the drilling of new wells and reworking old  
21 ones.

22           40.     Under Public Resources Code Section 3106(a), DOGGR is required to “supervise the  
23 drilling, operation, maintenance, and abandonment of wells and the operation, maintenance, and  
24 removal or abandonment of tanks and facilities attendant to oil and gas production...so as to prevent,  
25 as far as possible, damage to life, health, property, and natural resources; damage to underground oil  
26 and gas deposits from infiltrating water and other causes; loss of oil, gas, or reservoir energy, and  
27  
28

1 damage to underground and surface waters suitable for irrigation or domestic purposes by the  
2 infiltration of, or the addition of, detrimental substances.”

3 41. DOGGR is required by its regulations to “protect health, safety, welfare, and the  
4 environment.” (Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 14, §1721). In order to further these goals, DOGGR has the  
5 authority to take measures such as requiring operators to put monitoring programs in place, to detect  
6 spills into the soil and water, and determine the optimal well-spacing needed to “protect health,  
7 safety, welfare, or the environment.” (Pub. Res. Code §3106(c), Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 14 §§1721,  
8 1721.7).

9 42. In order to drill or rework an oil or gas well in California, permits are typically  
10 needed from both DOGGR and a local agency, depending on where the well is located. Additional  
11 permits may also be needed from the U.S. Bureau of Land Management (for wells on federal lands),  
12 and the State Lands Commission (for wells on state lands). In addition, DOGGR serves as the lead  
13 agency for CEQA purposes for all wells located in Kern County, where some 80% of the oil wells in  
14 the state of California are located.

15 43. Where operators intend to use “well stimulation treatments” like hydraulic fracturing,  
16 they must also provide advance notice to DOGGR, and well stimulation may only commence after  
17 DOGGR has provided its approval. (Pub. Res. Code. §3160, §3161).

18 44. Enhanced oil recovery techniques, including steam injection and water flooding, also  
19 require an Underground Injection Control (“UIC”) Program permit. The UIC Program regulates  
20 injection wells used in oil and gas production, and was intended to protect underground sources of  
21 drinking water. DOGGR regulates these wells in California, yet despite its discretionary authority  
22 over the program, it does not conduct CEQA review prior to issuing UIC permits.

23 **D. Required Environmental Review**

24 45. In permitting oil and gas wells in California, DOGGR has a nondiscretionary duty to  
25 comply with the statutory and regulatory requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act.  
26 (Pub. Res. Code §21080(a), Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 14 §§1681 *et seq.*)  
27  
28

1           46.     The California Environmental Quality Act, Public Resources Code §§ 21000-21177,  
2 is a comprehensive statute designed to provide for long-term protection of the environment. In  
3 enacting CEQA, the state Legislature declared its intention that all public agencies responsible for  
4 regulating activities affecting the environment give prime consideration “to preventing  
5 environmental damage, while providing a decent home and satisfying living environment for every  
6 Californian.” (Pub. Res. Code § 21000(g).)

7           47.     One of the fundamental purposes of the CEQA process is to provide the decision-  
8 makers and the public in general with detailed information about the impacts a proposed project will  
9 likely have on the environment, in order to allow them to balance the project’s benefits against its  
10 environmental costs, consider appropriate mitigation measures, and properly weigh other  
11 alternatives.

12           48.     Public agencies approving a project that is not subject to an exemption must prepare  
13 an initial study to determine whether the project might have a significant impact on the environment.  
14 If agencies determine a project might have a significant impact, a full EIR is required; alternatively,  
15 if agencies determine that no significant impact will occur, a negative declaration may be issued.  
16 (CEQA Guidelines §§ 15365, 15363(c)(5).)

17           49.     CEQA requires each state agency to prepare an EIR when it proposes to approve or  
18 carry out a discretionary project that may have a significant impact on the environment, and to  
19 mitigate or avoid those significant impacts whenever feasible to do so. (Pub. Res. Code §§ 21002.1,  
20 21061, 21080(a).)

21           50.     In preparing an EIR, agencies must define “project” in a manner that requires  
22 consideration of “the whole of an action,” and may not divide a single project into individual  
23 subprojects to avoid responsibility for considering the environmental impact of the project as a  
24 whole. (CEQA Guidelines § 15378, Pub. Res. Code § 21605). The term “project” is given a broad  
25 interpretation in order to maximize protection of the environment.

26           51.     In preparing an EIR, the discussion of significant environmental impacts “should  
27 include relevant specifics of the area, the resources involved, physical changes, alterations to  
28 ecological systems, and changes induced in population distribution, population concentration, the

1 human use of the land (including commercial and residential development), health and safety  
2 problems caused by the physical changes, and other aspects of the resource base such as water,  
3 historical resources, scenic quality, and public services.” (Cal. Code Regs. tit. 14, § 15126.2(a).)

4 52. An EIR must also identify feasible mitigation measures in order to substantially  
5 lessen or avoid otherwise significant environmental effects. (Pub. Res. Code §§ 21002, 21081(a);  
6 Cal. Code Regs. tit. 14, § 15126.4(a).)

7 53. In addition, CEQA requires DOGGR to consider and evaluate the cumulative impacts  
8 of a project when the project’s incremental effects are “cumulatively considerable.” (Pub. Res. Code  
9 § 21083(b)(2); Cal. Code Regs. tit. 14, § 15130(a).) “Cumulatively considerable” means that “the  
10 incremental effects of an individual project are significant when viewed in connection with the  
11 effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future  
12 projects.” (Pub. Res. Code § 21083(b)(2); Cal. Code Regs. tit. 14, § 15065(a)(3).)

13 54. California’s Water Code has provisions which complement CEQA’s requirements for  
14 conducting environmental review. The Water Code requires a lead agency performing CEQA  
15 review for any “industrial project” “occupying more than 40 acres of land, or having more than  
16 650,000 square feet of floor area,” or “that would demand an amount of water equivalent to, or  
17 greater than, the amount of water required by a 500 dwelling unit project,” to disclose the water  
18 supply for the project, and take measures to determine whether the water supply will be adequate to  
19 meet the projected needs of the project. (Water Code §10912, §10910).

20 55. Despite the great number of wells permitted in recent months, DOGGR has not  
21 complied with CEQA or the Water Code’s requirements for conducting environmental review, for  
22 any of its recently issued permits in the South Belridge Oil Field.

### 23 **E. Recent Permit Approvals**

24 56. From July 29, 2014 to the present date, DOGGR issued Permits to Conduct Well  
25 Operations to Aera Energy to drill 214 new wells in South Belridge Oil Field. DOGGR has  
26 approved Interim Well Stimulation Treatment Notices, allowing fracking, for 144 of these wells. A  
27 list of these wells, including their A.P.I. numbers, is attached as Exhibit C to this Petition.  
28

1           57.     DOGGR has not required environmental review of any of these wells. DOGGR has  
2 failed to consider, for example, how drilling and operation of these wells would affect local air  
3 quality, whether the wells would increase greenhouse gas emissions, whether they would release  
4 toxic chemicals, whether they would strain scarce water supplies, and whether they would harm  
5 protected species such as the San Joaquin kit fox.

6           58.     The permits issued by DOGGR to Aera Energy authorize drilling in the Diatomite  
7 and Tulare zones of the South Belridge Oil Field. The permits allow for all types of extraction  
8 methods, including fracking, water flooding, and steam injection.

9           59.     Aera Energy has provided Interim Well Stimulation Treatment Notices, as required  
10 by law, stating that it will be fracking at least 144 of its newly permitted wells. The water  
11 management plan provided along with these notices indicates that the water for hydraulic fracturing  
12 will be drawn from “either the California Aqueduct via Aera’s connection to the Belridge Water  
13 Storage District or from Aera-owned water source wells.” (*See e.g.*, Interim Well Stimulation  
14 Treatment Notice for Well A.P.I. No. 030-55641, referenced in Exhibit C). Aera Energy anticipates  
15 needing a maximum of 4,800 barrels (201,600 gallons) of fresh water for each well stimulation  
16 treatment. (*Id.*) It does not intend to use recycled water for the well stimulation treatments. (*Id.*)  
17 The water remaining after the well stimulation treatment will be used for the next job, or passed  
18 through a water treatment facility and transported to Aera Energy’s permitted Class II disposal wells.  
19 (*Id.*) According to these estimates, all together, fracking these wells could use 30,240,000 gallons or  
20 approximately 93 acre feet of water, drawn from the California Aqueduct or local water resources.

21           60.     Water will be used in other drilling and production activities (such as conventional  
22 drilling, water flooding, and steam injection), but DOGGR has not provided any information about  
23 the expected water use in these types of drilling permits.

24           61.     DOGGR has the discretion to modify permit conditions in furtherance of  
25 environmental protection and public health and safety. Here, DOGGR has used this discretion to  
26 require distinct permit conditions for individual wells, including, but not limited to:

- 27                 a.     The use of blowout prevention equipment meeting particular specifications;  
28

- b. Requiring a mechanical integrity test of the well after drilling has commenced;
- c. Requiring a directional survey after the completion of drilling;
- d. Requiring permission to be obtained before flaring or blowing gas;
- e. Requiring additional safety precautions for areas with hydrogen sulfide gas present;
- f. DOGGR has also revised permit conditions for the new wells, after receiving Supplementary Notices of drilling from Aera Energy, changing the safety specifications required for particular wells.

62. On September 24, 2014, Petitioners submitted a Public Records Act request to DOGGR, seeking any documents pertaining to environmental review of permits for the South Belridge Oil Field, undertaken pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act, from June 1, 2014 to the present. On October 29, 2014, Petitioners were informed by DOGGR’s chief counsel that there were no responsive documents. Based on this response, Petitioners understand that DOGGR has not conducted any CEQA review for the permits listed in Appendix C issued to Aera Energy in the South Belridge Oil Field.

## V. CAUSE OF ACTION

### (Violation of CEQA – Failure to Comply with CEQA)

63. Petitioners re-allege, as if fully set forth herein, each and every allegation contained in the preceding paragraphs.

64. DOGGR issues an approval prior to any drill, redrill, or rework of an oil or gas well. (14 Cal. Code Regs. § 1714.) The approval and issuance of a permit to drill is a “discretionary” action subject to the requirements of CEQA. (Pub. Res. Code §§ 20165, 21080.)

65. Thus, in permitting oil and gas wells in California, DOGGR has a nondiscretionary duty to comply with the statutory and regulatory requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act. (Pub. Res. Code §21080(a), Cal. Code Regs. Tit. 14 §§1681 *et seq.*)

66. No exemptions to CEQA are applicable.





1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**VERIFICATION**

I, Gordon Nipp, hereby declare:

I am the Vice Chair of the Kern-Kaweah chapter of the Sierra Club, a non-profit corporation with offices in San Francisco, California and elsewhere in the United States. The facts alleged in the above Petition are true to my personal knowledge and belief.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct and that this verification is executed on this 11 th day of November 2014 at Bakersfield, California.

Gordon F. Nipp